## Padstow Parish Neighbourhood Plan Analysis of Response to Informal Community Consultation on 1<sup>st</sup> Version of NP

Number of Respondents: 53

After responses were sorted and segmented:

Comment	ts on Aspects of the Neighbourhood Plan	No.		
General Comment on Neighbourhood Plan				
	Foreword	1		
Sec. 1	Introduction	1		
Sec. 2	Padstow Today	11		
Sec. 3	The Strategic Context	11		
Sec. 4	Purpose of the Neighbourhood Plan	2		
Sec. 5	The Structure of Our Plan	1		
Sec. 6	Vision, Aims and Objectives	0		
Sec. 7	Natural Environment	6		
PAD1	Protecting the Natural Environment	6		
PAD2	Public Rights of Way	7		
PAD3	Farm Diversification	1		
Sec. 8	Built Environment and Heritage	3		
PAD4	Heritage Assets	1		
PAD5	Local Green Space	8		
PAD6	Settlement Area Boundaries (SAB)	8		
PAD7	Development Adjoining Padstow's SAB	15		
PAD8	Sustainable Design	5		
Sec. 9	Housing	7		
PAD9	Housing Development	6		
PAD10	Housing Needs and Mix	7		
PAD11	Rural Exception Site Development	17		
PAD12	Second Homes	24		
Sec. 10	Transport, Traffic and Parking	4		
PAD13	Local Travel and Safety	4		
PAD14	Electric Vehicle Charging	3		
PAD15	Public Car Parking Areas	8		
PAD16	Off-road Parking	4		
Sec. 11	Local Economy and Tourism	2		
PAD17	Business Development	1		
PAD18	Trecerus Industrial Estate	6		
PAD19	Padstow Town Centre	3		
PAD20	Tourism Development	3		
Sec. 12	Community Wellbeing	7		
PAD21	Community Infrastructure	5		
PAD22	Community-based Initiatives	2		
PAD23	Community Facilities	0		
PAD24	Recreation and Sports Facilities	2		
PAD25	Facilities for Young People	4		
Sec. 13	Monitoring the Plan	1		
Sec. 14	Glossary	0		
		231		

## **Features of the Consultation Response:**

- Most respondents commented on more than one aspect of the Plan
- The following policies did not receive any opposition, only support or positive criticism: PAD1, PAD3, PAD4, PAD5, PAD6, PAD10, PAD13, PAD14, PAD17, PAD20, PAD21, PAD22, PAD24
- The following policies received more comments in support of them than the sum of the critical comments about them: PAD10, PAD12, PAD13, PAD14, PAD15, PAD20, PAD21
- The following policies did not attract any comments relevant to the policy: PAD19, PAD23
- The policy attracting most unconditional support was PAD12
- The policy attracting most opposition or negative comment was PAD11
- Policies PAD5, PAD6 and PAD7 received the most calls and suggestions for amendment

	Policy-related		Positive but could improve	Oppose or significance concerns about
Policy No.	Comments	Support	with changes	the policy
PAD1	4		5	
PAD2	7	1	5	1
PAD3	1		1	
PAD4	1		1	
PAD5	7	1	6	
PAD6	8	2	6	
PAD7	15		8	7
PAD8	2	2	2	1
PAD9	6	1	3	2
PAD10	7	4	3	
PAD11	17	2	5	10
PAD12	22	16	2	6
PAD13	2	2		
PAD14	3	2	1	
PAD15	3			3
PAD16	3	1	1	1
PAD17	1		1	
PAD18	6	3	1	2
PAD19	0			
PAD20	3	2	1	
PAD21	5	3	2	
PAD22	2	1	1	
PAD23	0			
PAD24	2	1	1	
PAD25	3		1	2

The number of persons criticising or opposing specific policies, or other aspects of the Plan is, in all cases, relatively small (given the size of the population of the area). Caution should therefore guide your consideration of any changes.

Rarely is it justified to change a policy significantly as a result of the views expressed by only one person or business.

Remember too, people are more likely to comment if they oppose something than if they support it.

## **Headlines from Consultation Response:**

		Main Community Reaction
No.	Policy Title:	(based on the number received and thrust of written responses)
PAD01	Protecting the Natural Environment	Highlight the special character of AONB
PAD02	Public Rights of Way	Extend the policy to include other footpaths
PAD03	Farm Diversification	No problems with purpose of policy
PAD04	Heritage Assets	No problems with policy
PAD05	Local Green Space	Consider adding a further site to the list
PAD06	Settlement Area Boundaries (SAB)	Approach is supported, but the boundaries need adjusting
PAD07	Development Adjoining Padstow's SAB	Concern that policy may threaten AONB area
PAD08	Sustainable Design	Suggestions as to how it might be extended
PAD09	Housing Development	Needs adjusting to ensure past mistakes are avoided
PAD10	Housing Needs and Mix	Recognise there are different types of local housing need
PAD11	Rural Exception Site Development	May lead to housing development in the wrong place
PAD12	Second Homes	Much support, but some concerns about its consequences
PAD13	Local Travel and Safety	Good intentions, but how will it be implemented?
PAD14	Electric Vehicle Charging	Extend the policy to new buildings
PAD15	Public Car Parking Areas	Consider unwanted consequences of more car parks
PAD16	Off-road Parking	Avoid loss of existing off-road parking spaces
PAD17	Business Development	No problems with policy
PAD18	Trecerus Industrial Estate	Industrial Estate needs improvements
PAD19	Padstow Town Centre	No problems with policy or designated area
PAD20	Tourism Development	Support for policy position on tourism
PAD21	Community Infrastructure	Community infrastructure needs improvements
PAD22	Community-based Initiatives	No problems with policy intention
PAD23	Community Facilities	No problems with policy
PAD24	Recreation and Sports Facilities	Community views on what is needed will be important
PAD25	Facilities for Young People	Confusion about purpose of policy

PPNP/PW/Oct19

## Padstow Neighbourhood Plan 1st Consultation Version Response by Plan and Policy Order

No.	Address	Comment	Consultant's Suggestion
VE 17 N	General	MITTER AND SECTION OF THE SECTION OF	
G1	Netherton Road	I am pleased to see a comprehensive range of policies	Note expression of support.
		for the Padstow and Trevone area. The policies seem to	No change necessary as a
		highlight the major issues which concern the local	result of this comment.
		community such as second homes, parking and the like.	
G2	Treverbyn Road	All draft policies reflect local desire to maintain the	Note positive comment.
	<i>'</i>	community and local area for maximum benefit.	No change necessary as a
			result of this comment.
G3	Rainyfields	The draft policies I feel are very good. Very good in all	Note expression of support.
	<b>'</b>	aspects. The layout and display are first class. Well done	No change necessary as a
		Padstow Town Council.	result of this comment.
G4	Padstow	Compromise breeds corruption - and what a shocking	Note criticism.
	1 000000	compromise this much acclaimed plan presents!	
		It is something of an absolute disgrace and it would be a	
		very certain disingenuous lie, to refer to it as being 'ours'	
		as a community, as sure as it would be, to claim this has	
		ever been a process of consultation. Just to properly	
		assess our Local Green Space (Policy No. PAD5) - is this	1
	5.1.	plan clutching at straws?	
G5	Padstow	As for Housingthe powers that be will not do anything	Note scepticism.
		to curb the blight of second homes	
		This is no fair or reasonable consultation any more than	
		it may be called a plan.	
G6	Dobbins Close	I thought the maps were informative BUT did not	Consider improving quality of
		reproduce very well and the words could not be read	mapping in Pre-Submission
		when the maps were enlarged on my laptop.	Version of NP
G7	Beach Road	Generally, I think the plan reads well and strikes a good	Note positive comment.
		balance between meeting the needs of the community	No change necessary as a
		and protecting the environment.	result of this comment.
G8	Poltair Homes &	The 1st draft consultation Plan is a positive document	Note expression of support
	Situ8	supportive toward proposed further expansion of the	and positive interpretation
		town's housing provision, providing it secures	the policy approach taken.
		sustainable homes that meet local needs especially	No change necessary as a
		around affordable housing. We would support that.	result of this comment.
		Tenure, mix and good design represent important	
		objectives of the Plan. Parking is also important, as the	
		Plan recognises that unless there is adequate parking	
		within housing schemes there could be an impact upon	
		the surrounding area. Sustainable housing development	
		is a strong ambition, including moving away from fossil	
		fuel heating systems, adding Electric car charging points	
		to homes and supporting renewable energies, subject to	
		conditions. The Plan acknowledges that the housing	
		targets in the adopted local plan are minimum rather	
		than maximum. This is a stance taken across other	
		parishes and towns in the county.	
		Tourism is recognised as a key economic activity, but	
		residents consulted considered that further significant	
		expansion of the tourist industry was not what Padstow	
		· ·	
		required, preferring to see alternative forms of	
		employment opportunities created. Trecerus Industrial	
		estate was the general location where the community	
		felt further expansion would be appropriate, initially	
		overhauling existing facilities. New commercial buildings	
		should not include warehousing or other businesses that	
		generated few employments jobs.	
		Improving Community facilities and services is also an	
		aim of the Plan and concerns about the infrastructure	
		capability within the parish.	
G9	Dobbin Lane	Tone and scope of the report is good.	Note positive comment.

			No change necessary as a result of this comment.
G10	Dobbin Lane	Maps would be much more useful if they included scales.	Consider improving quality mapping in Pre-Submission
			Version of NP and using a b scale for each map.
G11	Parkenhead	Having read through the draft document, I find there are	Note general criticism and
	Lane	many ambiguities, sometimes misinterpretation and	consider specific concerns
		draft policies that could be misleading and therefore,	under the various policy
		possibly, contentious.	headings.
G12	Trevone	Once approved, the Plan will be used by Cornwall	Note criticism and take it in
		Council and be referred to at any planning enquiry	account when re-drafting.
		affecting the Parish. It therefore needs to be a tightly written document, concentrating only on planning	
		issues, and written so that there is no conflict of	
		information or policy within the Plan and with the	
		Cornwall Plan. This is not the case at present.	
G13	Trevone	The whole document should be written using the	Consider whether the Plan
		impersonal pronoun throughout. It will become a formal	should adopt the imperson
		planning document, part of the Local Cornwall Plan.	pronoun throughout.
		Once approved, to whom does the 'we' and 'our' refer	The NP is a statement of
		to: Cornwall Council, Padstow Town Council, the	agreed planning positions a
		electorate, the council tax payers etc.? In addition, there will be conflict with the remaining 'we', also undefined,	policies adopted by the Tov Council on behalf of all
		in the Cornwall Plan, which can only add to the	parishioners. The use of 'w
		confusion.	is a common convention fo
			NP's and ensures the Plan i
			recognised as the wishes of
			the people of the area.
G14	Trevone	'Plan', 'Neighbourhood Plan' and 'Padstow Parish	Ensure that references to t
		Neighbourhood Plan' are used interchangeably to	NP are consistent in their
		describe the document. I would suggest that the	application throughout the document.
G15	Trevone	document is defined as 'the Plan' throughout.  To the extent that they are needed, pages 38 onwards,	Consider whether there is a
913	litevolle	including policies PAD 13 to PAD 25 inclusive, relate in	merit in separating out the
		practice only to Padstow and should be in a separate	Padstow only policies. It
		section. It must be made very clear that these policies	should be noted that sever
		do not apply to the land within the Parish which is within the AONB.	of the draft policies mentioned by the responde
		Policies PAD 7, PAD 9 and PAD 10 should also be	apply across the whole par
		included in this section.	
G16	Trevone	Very detailed comments are marked up on the relevant	Refer to marked-up schedu
The state of the s			rector to marked apparate
		page and included as schedule 3 to this document.	3, as necessary, when re-
		Items omitted	3, as necessary, when re- drafting the NP.
G17	Un-specified	Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.
	Un-specified	Items omitted	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment. No change necessary as a
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.
	Un-specified Trevone	Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of many Parishioners. None seems to me to be a necessary	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of many Parishioners. None seems to me to be a necessary part of the Plan. I would suggest that such judgemental wording be removed so as to make the Plan a more factual document.	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an
G17		Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of many Parishioners. None seems to me to be a necessary part of the Plan. I would suggest that such judgemental wording be removed so as to make the Plan a more factual document.  The Plan frequently uses the term 'we' but it is unclear	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an should be amended.  The use of 'we' is a common
G17	Trevone	Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of many Parishioners. None seems to me to be a necessary part of the Plan. I would suggest that such judgemental wording be removed so as to make the Plan a more factual document.  The Plan frequently uses the term 'we' but it is unclear who exactly is making the subsequent statements; some	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an should be amended.  The use of 'we' is a commo convention for NP's and
G17	Trevone	Items omitted  Overall, I think it is a sound set of policies and positively prepared  there are many instances where subjective language is used: for example (and there are many more) 'thanks to', 'good use', 'of concern', 'require', 'inevitable', 'ironic'. It may be the case that the judgements associated with the use of these descriptors reflect the beliefs held by the limited number of those writing the Plan; whatever the explanation I think such language is unhelpful and quite possibly contrary to the views of many Parishioners. None seems to me to be a necessary part of the Plan. I would suggest that such judgemental wording be removed so as to make the Plan a more factual document.  The Plan frequently uses the term 'we' but it is unclear	3, as necessary, when redrafting the NP.  Note positive comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  Consider whether the use of language in parts of the NP describe or explain the planning issues and opportunities or justify the policies is inappropriate an should be amended.  The use of 'we' is a common

			Consider whether it needs clarifying in the Foreword or Introduction.
G20	Trevone	The Padstow Parish Maps (1, 2, 3) do not display the full parish. If the entire Parish is not to be shown, then I suggest some statement to that effect would be appropriate.	Consider improving quality of mapping in Pre-Submission Version of NP.
G21	St Petrocs Meadow	To my eyes it appears professionally with the usual caveats. What of the acquisitive builders and landowners involved?	Note positive comment. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
G22	St Petrocs Meadow	The Plan would seem to be about building, expansion and selling the benefits of tourism.	A NP is about promotion sustainable development. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
G23	Church Street	I was impressed by the quality of the "Consultation Version 1". I am pleased that the TC has persisted in bringing it to this point.	Note positive comment. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
G24	Trevone Road	The wording of the plan and some of its aspirations seem at odds with a professionally constructed and worded plan. One is left with the view that conclusions may have been arrived at and then the Plan constructed to arrive at those conclusions.	Note criticism and take it into account when re-drafting.
	Foreword		
F1	Poltair Homes & Situ8	There is reference to the evidence base which can be viewed by the website link. Through inspection there will be detailed scrutiny of the evidence base for the following policies.	Note comment and ensure that the evidence base is sufficient to justify the policy positions.
	Introduction		
11	Poltair Homes & Situ8	Para. 2.6 There is an erroneous reference to Trecerus Farm phase 3 and Homes England 'intervention.' That was not the case.	Check the accuracy of the statements in para. 2.6
	Padstow Today		
PT1	Beach Road  Dobbin Lane	The challenges are also well understood and set out in Chapter 2 with focus rightly on the provision of affordable homes, preserving and protecting jobs, the capacity of health facilities, community buildings, inadequate public open space and recreational areas and as described as the 'matter of most concern to the community' is inadequate road network and traffic congestion.  In trying to balance these often competing and conflicting objectives and in the relationship between policy PAD1, PAD7, PAD8, PAD9 and PAD11 I believe there is significant error which could lead to both unsustainable developments being encouraged and, to compound this, unnecessary adverse impacts on the AONB. This would be at odds with para. 8.30 of the plan which states 'we expect the principles of sustainability to pervade all facets at development'.  As drafter therefore, I think the plan does not meet the necessary tests required for a Neighbourhood Plan to be 'made'.  Having said that with some simple amendments to address these points I would wholeheartedly support the plan.	Note positive comment about the description of Padstow Today. Consider specific concerns under the various policy headings.
PT2	Dobbin Lane	No figures given for the present size of Padstow or Trevone - population, number of residential and business properties. More specific information on numbers of second homes and the loss of local facilities, Banking, Post offices and Care homes.  There have been significant changes over the 30 years that I have been a permanent resident of Trevone and the rate of change is now increasing at an alarming rate.  Many simple properties are being knocked down or	Consider whether the scene- setting of this section would benefit from the insertion of specific numbers (perhaps in a tabular form), if up-to-date figures are available.

		rebuilt as second homes for wealthy people and are too expensive for locals.	
РТ3	Dobbin Lane	This year's building developments have caused significant traffic problems with the roads being choked with contractors' vehicles.	Note comment about recent problems. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PT4	Parkenhead Lane	Having read the whole Community Consultation Document, I feel the Council has made a good effort at summing up our local needs.	Note positive comment about the description of Padstow Today.
PT5	Parkenhead Lane	Para. 2.3 I'm pleased to see that the report acknowledges the significance of the AONB to the draft policies within the plan and refers to the 'two distinct areas of Padstow and Trevone' within both the ANOB Management Plan and Cornwall Council Landscape Character Study. Re-enforced in paragraph 7.2 and 7.3. Paragraph 8.1 again identifies the substantial difference between the major two settlements, referring to Padstow as a Historic Port and Trevone as a Seaside Village.  The significance of the AONB, and that the whole settlement of Trevone is within the AONB, relates to the target figures outlined in paragraph 8.25, 9.6 and 9.26 for new housing, including affordable housing. Cornwall Council's housing statement guidelines allow for reduced targets in the case of parishes which are partly within the AONB in parishes where settlements are within the ANOB the baseline for the housing target will be set at zero.  Furthermore it is also recognised that as part of the supporting evidence base for the CLP the Examiner directed that Cornwall Council were required to show that the whole of the housing target for the county could be provided for without relying on any house construction within the AONB.	Note positive comment about the description of Padstow Today and the recognition of the significance of the AONB. Consider whether it is appropriate to make reference here, or elsewhere in the NP, to Cornwall Council's housing statement guidelines on housing targets as they relate to the AONB area.
PT6	Trevone	It should be stated explicitly that everything up to the PAD 1 policy on page 15 does not form part of the legal NDP for planning purposes. To emphasise this, the heading before policy PAD 1 should start on a new page and I see no point in repeating this heading throughout the document.	Consider whether it is necessary to make statement regarding status of the introductory sections.
PT7	Trevone	The word 'community', in the singular is used many times throughout the document. What is this single community? Is Padstow Town really only one community; are Crugmeer, Treator, Trenio and Trevone really the same community as Padstow? Re paragraph 2.2, can two separate settlements be one community? 'Communities', in the plural, should be used throughout the document.	Consider, when re-drafting, whether it is appropriate in all instances within the NP to describe the parishioners of the area as a single community or whether the NP needs, on occasions, to reflect the fact that there are separate settlements areas and communities.
PT8	Trevone	In several places, the document fails to differentiate between land (including dwellings thereon) which is within and without the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty ('AONB'). Because of its very nature, any and all policies written for land within the AONB cannot also be applicable to land without and visa-versa. A proper differentiation needs to be made throughout the document.	Consider when re-drafting the NP whether it is appropriate for some policies to differentiate between land within and without the AONB.
PT9	Trevone	Para. 2.8, I agree that there should be more recreation areas, but to say that 'The area is under-provided with public open spaces' is incorrect'. What about the walks, cliffs and beaches around Padstow, which a 'townie' from elsewhere would love to be near? How is this paragraph compatible with paragraph 12.1 on page 47?	Consider whether the description in para. 2.8 is incorrect.

PT10	Un-specified	I notice reference to the word 'community' in the singular, in Para 3.8, 3.9, 4.3, 4.6 and 4.8. However, in Para 2.3 it refers to two distinct areas of Padstow and Trevone, and elsewhere in the report a significant difference is noted between the two major settlements. I therefore would question the use of 'community' in the singular as this could be misleading.	Consider, when re-drafting, whether it is appropriate in all instances within the NP to describe the parishioners of the area as a single community or whether the NP needs, on occasions, to reflect the fact that there are separate settlements areas and communities.
PT11	Un-specified	The seaside village of Trevone lies entirely within the AONB, whereas the town of Padstow lies partially so. This is of significance when considered against Cornwall Council's housing guidelines, which allow for reduced housing targets for parishes which lie partly with the AONB, and a zero target for parishes where the settlement is entirely within, such as Trevone. Within the supporting evidence for the CLP, Cornwall Council was required to demonstrate that the entire housing target for the county could be provided for without relying on any housing being built within the AONB.  The policy PAD1 is in danger of weakening the overriding importance of the AONB status of Trevone. Further, PAD 6 and PAD 7 refer to special circumstances for development of homes in the open countryside, but given that Trevone lies entirely within the AONB, Cornwall Council have no target for such settlements and there needs to be a separate policy for Trevone to acknowledge this zero target	Consider specific concerns regarding the AONB status of Trevone under the various policy headings.
	The Strategic Con		
SC1	Beach Road	There is however one important aspect which I believe, if not corrected, could lead to unsustainable development and harm to the AONB.  The AONB of course reflects the exceptionally high quality of the landscape and environment of about 2/3s of the parish area. It is also the main reason why the area is so attractive to visitors, resulting in the obvious pressures that this creates but also the huge benefits socially and economically. As mentioned in para 2.3 of the plan "the countryside remains one of the parish's greatest assets that can be enjoyed but must be protected".  This balance is I believe correctly and eloquently expressed in the Town Council's Position Statement as summarised in para 3.8 but not so reflected in the draft plan	Note positive comment regarding the Town Council's Position Statement. Consider specific concerns regarding the AONB under the various policy headings.
SC2	Egerton Road	I support the Padstow Town Council policy statement.	Note expression of support for the Town Council's Position Statement. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
SC3	Trevone	Para. 3.8 should refer to communities. Padstow and Trevone communities are so different, as indeed is Traitor. I would say that Padstow itself has more than one community.  The same applies to the first bullet point in 3.9, 4.3, 4.6 and 4.8. The second reference to community in 4.8 could read 'to achieve the communities' consensus. Please amend so as not to end a sentence with a preposition!	Consider, when re-drafting, whether it is appropriate in all instances within the NP to describe the parishioners of the area as a single community or whether the NP needs, on occasions, to reflect the fact that there are separate settlements areas and communities.
SC4	Poltair Homes & Situ8	There is reference to the local plan strategic policies that if an NDP has not been adopted within two years of the	Check the LPA's position with this regard.

			I
		local plan November 2016 the council will undertake the necessary site allocations documents to support the delivery of the target set out in the local plan. Are you sure that this relates to Padstow?	
SC5	Parkenhead Lane	Transport Paragraph 3.8 - PTC Policies, acknowledges that "travelling within the area must become less stressful and safer." This is particularly relevant when considering the difficulties for residence in Trevone accessing social infrastructure in Padstow (Doctor, School, supermarket etc) where the rural road B3276 has no pavements for pedestrians, and the only partial footpath from Trevone into Padstow is not accessible to	Note implied support for the TC's priorities. Consider whether to add any further details of the hazardous footpath situation in Section 2.
		many and uses some single track road.  Because of the lack of transport, Trevone is unsuitable for affordable housing.	
SC6	Trevone	Much of the document refers to matters which are either not the subject of planning control or are repetitive of national or Cornwall Council policies. The document, as a consequence, is far too long and thus not nearly so user friendly as it should be. All these sections should be deleted from the Neighbourhood Development Plan ('NDP').	Consider whether to delete the sections of the NP, which set the context for the policies, in the interests of making it more user-friendly.
SC7	Trevone	In paragraph 3.2, the statement about development is incorrect unless it refers also to paragraph 11 b) i of the NPPF.	NPPF para. 11 does begin with "Plans and decisions should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development."
SC8	Trevone	Paragraph 3.9 is very derogatory to second homeowners and visitors, who provide the livelihood of many residents. I expect that many do not agree with it. This is an assertion, and I (as part of the 'we'?) object to being included with it.	Consider whether para.3.9 should be re-worded.
SC9	Trevone	No reference is made throughout the document to North Cornwall DC's retained policy ENV 1. This is pertinent for the continued protection of the AONB.	Consider whether it is necessary to refer to North Cornwall DC's retained policy ENV 1 in support of any particular policy in the NP.
SC10	St Petrocs Meadow	I quite accept that we must plan very carefully for the future and have the strength and will to say no when there is sufficient doubt or concern. Stealth will not go down well in Padstow	Note comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.
SC11	Trevone Road	Para. 3.9. The wording is at best unfortunate. If used in a plan elsewhere in the country it may be considered outrageous as population movements into an area may not only from other parts of the country. As the young from Padstow, and Cornwall in general, should rightly be given every opportunity to develop their careers and lives here or elsewhere, so newcomers to the Padstow Parish should be considered exactly the same as those that were born and have grown up here. They would not be here if it was not for those from the Padstow Parish being happy to sell their land and properties to them.	Consider whether para.3.9 should be re-worded.
	Purpose of the N	eighbourhood Plan	
PP1	Netherton Road	Change is not easy. It would be valuable to get youth perspective. Is this consultation allowing young people the opportunity to express views? They are the ones who will live with the outcome or more out of area.	Consider whether more should and can be done to engage with young people in the latter stages of plan making.
PP2	Trevone	Para. 4.3: In relation to Trevone and its environs, I am not aware of any measures to support the assertion that 'we have consulted widely' I would therefore contend that the current statement is misleading unless some convincing evidence to the contrary is provided.	Note views of respondent. Ensure that consultation on the Pre-submission version of the NP is carried out in accordance with Regulation 14.

	The Structure of		
SP1	Poltair Homes & Situ8	It is clear that the Plan is sensitive around existing policies only wishing to introduce new where they strengthen outcomes. This is a good approach.	Note positive comment about the approach being taken.
	Vision, Aims and		
	VISION, ANNS and	Objectives	No comments received – so
			no change is necessitated by
			the consultation
	Natural Environm	nent– Topic Overview	the constitution
NE1	Dobbins Lane	Very pleased to read in Para 7.3: 'Safeguarding and	Note expression of support.
INLI	DODDINS Lane	reinforcing the distinctive character of the countryside	No change necessary as a
		are important aims that the Neighbourhood Plan shares	result of this comment.
		with the AONB Management Plan.'	
NE2	Dobbins Lane	Para. 7.5 highlights the importance of agriculture. How	Note concern about farming
		can the Plan incentivise organic farming as the most	practices. Incentivising
		tried and tested method of sustainable farming with	organic farming is not a NP
		maximum soil protection and habitat preservation for	matter.
		wildlife of all sorts?	
NE3	Trevone	Section 7 makes no reference to the MCZ, which is, in my	Note comment.
		view, a significant feature of the local environment and	The MCZ is outside the plan
		of particular relevance to those both wishing to conserve	area.
		and enhance the seascape and Parishioners whose	
		livelihood depends on the local marine area.	
NE4	Grenville Road	We are lucky enough to live in an environment that is	Note comments.
		more naturally beautiful and less toxic than the UK	Declaring a climate
		average; certainly, it's one of the reasons thousands of	emergency and adopting a
		people choose to holiday here. It is up to all of us to	'community strategy' is a
		protect this and the Council has an important	proposal that should be
		stewardship responsibility to ensure our children and	referred the Town Council fo
		future generations can hold hope for the same benefits.	further consideration.
		It would be good for the Council to declare a climate	Consider, in the context of
		emergency and put in place a definitive strategy and	national and local strategies
		plan to deal with this and execute that plan.	whether the Pre-submission
		We should aim for Padstow to be at least carbon neutral,	version of the NP is suitably
		but ideally carbon positive.	climate and environment
		1. Obj.14B Encourage recycling and renewable energy	sensitive. A SEA or SA may b
		use: We should actively promote solar, wind, heat and	necessary or appropriate.
		tidal energy, and greywater harvesting, in both existing and future housing and business developments. The	
		Council should create a formal scheme for local residents	
		and business to participate in buying these services.	
		There is a distinct lack of public recycling facilities in the	
		centre of the town. All of the single use plastic bottles,	
		chip boxes, pasty wrappers etc. that individuals use are	
		currently being thrown in the general waste bins. We	
		should provide the type of public recycling bins that	
		other places already have.	
		2. Obj.6B Encourage sustainable housing design and	
		development: I absolutely agree with this. We know	
		Cornwall Council has a target for more homes. If some of	
		these are in Padstow, we should demand they are of the	
		best sustainable design and development.	
		3. Obj.1B Protect and enhance biodiversity; Obj.3B	
		Protect and enhance local green spaces and green	
		infrastructure; Obj.6B Encourage sustainable housing	
		design and development: All of these objectives should	
		drive a clear policy on the current public green spaces. I	
		suggest the need to plant lots of trees to drive carbon	
		sequestration (and help Cornwall Council with the	
		"Cornwall Forest") and the need to plant areas of	
		wildflowers to improve biodiversity and the preservation	
		of pollinating insects which we heavily rely on for our	
		food chain (plus it should reduce the cost of mowing	
		grass verges etc.). One area could be the "Gateway Site"	

PAUI/4	irevone	PAD1 would seem to apply to whole Parish. However, as noted in associated paragraphs, much of the Parish is part of Cornwall's AONB. It is suggested that the sentiment in the last sentence in 7.11 be included in	needs to make specific reference to the AONB.
PAD1/3	Un-specified  Trevone	There should be scope for "reasonable mitigation" to overcome issues, I would suggest it is added to the policy.  PAD1 would seem to apply to whole Parish, However, as	Consider whether the policy should include the caveat that would tolerate development that provides reasonable mitigation.  Consider whether policy PAD1
PAD1/2	Trevone	In order to ensure this policy, it is essential that AONB is protected.	The draft policy applies to the whole parish area Consider whether policy PAD1 needs to make specific reference to the AONB.
PAD1/1	Beach Road	The wording of policy PAD1 does not go as far as the wording in para 1.72 of the NPPF (as quoted at para 7.9 of the draft plan). Given that so much of the parish is covered by AONB, Policy PAD1 should expressly refer to the AONB and the highest level of protection required in the same or more stringent terms than the NPPF. PAD1 also does not reflect the text at para 7.12 which says that proposals will only be supported 'if it is shown that there will be no adverse effect on areas and habitats recognised by Cornwall Wildlife Trust as having ecological geological values'.  There should be no harmful effect on the AONB (as stated in relation to PAD3 at para 7.20)	The draft policy applies to the whole parish area. Consider whether policy PAD1 needs to make specific reference to the AONB.
	PAD1 Protecting	need for us to do much more for nature and wildlife than simply minimise losses, we should be providing more opportunities for wildlife and habitats to thrive. We would encourage a reference to be included, with specific reference to Target Outcome 9.  the Natural Environment	the Environmental Growth Strategy
NE6	Cornwall Wildlife Trust	dwelling.  There is no reference in the NDP to Cornwall and Isles of Scilly Environmental Growth Strategy which stresses the	Consider including reference to how the NP accords with
NE5	Cornwall Wildlife Trust	of public transport to Wadebridge. I encourage the Council to support the move to electric buses as soon as possible, both the public bus fleet and the Padstow park & ride. The charging infrastructure in bus stops and depots is already in the UK, I know Cornwall Council are actively looking at this.  Good to see reference and policies specifically referring to Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity and the inclusion of data/figures about habitat designations for the Parish. It would also be useful to include reference to the Biodiversity Guide and inclusion of wildlife specific development measures, in line with the Biodiversity Guide e.g. one bat or bird box for each new build	Note support from CWT. Consider including reference to the Biodiversity Guide and wildlife specific development measures if it is decided to include such in other policies.
		grassed space at the A389/B3276 junction which would look amazing to people driving into Padstow.  I would also encourage a policy for minimising the effect of light pollution. The new builds on the Trecerus Farm development emit a lot of light at night-time. One of the benefits of living in Padstow is the dark skies, and the importance of that for wildlife too, so any further development should be with low impact lighting.  4. Obj.9B Facilitate electric vehicle charging facilities: I agree with this, I know there are chargers at the Trecerus estate garage, provision should also be made available in public car parks, and incentives for residents to install these too.  5. Obj.9C Support public and community transport initiatives. It would be helpful to improve the frequency	

		PAD1 so as to make it clear that PAD1 is not intending to	
		dilute the importance of the plans and policies already adopted in respect of the AONB.	
PAD1/5	Cornwall Wildlife Trust	We support the reference to the preparation of "Sites of Interest" for the Parish. This information is available via the Wildlife Resource Map supplied from the Environmental Records Centre for Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly.	Note support from CWT. Consider including reference to this in the supporting text.
PAD1/6	Cornwall Wildlife Trust	It would be useful to add some supporting text for local non-statutory designated sites in line with the Biodiversity Guide. E.g.: non-statutory sites include County Wildlife Sites (CWS), County Geology Sites (CGS), Roadside Verge Audit Biological Sites and Ancient Woodlands: These are of at least county importance for wildlife/geology in Cornwall and are all recognised and given weight through the planning process.  Developments which would have an adverse impact on County Wildlife Sites will not be supported by Cornwall Council there are no suitable alternative sites, impacts are unavoidable and there is full provision for habitat recreation and management.	Consider extending supporting text in accordance with suggestion from CWT.
	PAD2 Public Right		
PAD2/1	Un-specified	The footpath adjacent to the green space which is owned by Cornwall Council needs to be re-classified as a public right of way and needs protection clause to stop any further development in our beautiful town of Padstow. The footpath to be added to map 5 and clearly marked.	Designating a public right of way is beyond the scope of the NP. The map is intended to show all PROWs in the parish area.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  This matter should be referred to the Town Council.
PAD2/2	Egerton Road	The footpath between Egerton and Treverbyn Road adjacent to 32 Treverbyn Road, which is owned by Cornwall Council to become a designated public right of way. Firstly, on grounds of health and safety as the path provides a safe protected traffic free area to safely cross the busy road junction. Secondly the footpath has been in existence since the area was developed over 60 years ago and has been and still is in regular daily use. As such it should qualify as a designated public right of way. The footpath should be clearly marked with red dots on the map 5 as a protected right of way.	Designating a public right of way is beyond the scope of the NP. The map is intended to show all PROWs in the parish area.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  This matter should be referred to the Town Council.
PAD2/3	Un-specified  Treverbyn Road	I would like to see the footpath between the triangle and No 32 Treverbyn Road at the junction of Egerton road and Treverbyn road made into a public right of way due to a health and safety risk and needs to be re-classified as a public right of way and added to Map 5 and clearly marked with red dots. The footpath has been there all my life (70 years) and is walked daily.  Footpath between Egerton and Treverbyn Road,	Designating a public right of way is beyond the scope of the NP. The map is intended to show all PROWs in the parish area.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.  This matter should be referred to the Town Council.  Note expression of support
PAU2/4	печегруп коад	application to stop this up was we believe turned down by PTC with a view to preserving the footpath in future. We were under the impression that if PTC refused it Cornwall Council would not go further but we have had no reassurance of this. Excellent idea to maintain rights of way and footpaths.	for the policy.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PAD2/5	Dobbins Lane	7.16 says: Strategic Policy 16 of the Local Plan wants us to provide or enhance active travel networks that support and encourage walking, riding and cycling. ' and I question whether facilitating cycling or riding on public footpaths is good use of public funds, especially given habitat impact (if this is implied in the Plan.) On the	Note concerns expressed. The policy seeks to protect existing rights of way from development. Consider whether the statement in 7.16 "policy

PAD2/6	Homer Park Road	other hand, finding ways to make cycling and riding safer on the road network is valuable, perhaps by further limiting road speed limits, improving public transport, and thus discouraging car use. I am concerned to read 7.16 that there is the possibility of causing harm to local ecology, albeit least ' 'any changes should be done in a way that cause least harm to local ecology'. I am convinced our job is to preserve local ecology.  Map 5 shows I think two paths that are no longer sign-posted: A section to St Cadoc Farm — this section is not shown on map 9, the Trevone map A section from Padstow farm shop towards Padstow which once cut off a section of the minor road to Prideaux Place.	PAD2 is generally supportive of improvements and enhancements to the rights of way network" needs further explanation.  Ensure the map of Prows, if included in the Presubmission version of the NP is accurate.  Refer comment to the Town Council.
PAD2/7	Trevone	What is the purpose of policy PAD2? Public rights of way are protected by national legislation which Cornwall Council is required to implement. It is Cornwall Council who have to approve and make any diversion orders. These will be required should there be any development proposals approved which affect public rights of way. Paragraph 7.15 is irrelevant to the policy and paragraph 7.16 is a paraphrase of the Cornwall Council policy. The policy should be deleted.	Consider whether it is necessary to include a PROW policy in the NP.
PAD3/1	PAD3 Farm Diver	Policy PAD 3 is unexceptional. However, paragraph 7.18	Consider whether it is to
PAUS/I		should be amended so that there is differentiation between 'countryside' within and without the AONB. In paragraph 7.20, delete 'there is no harmful effect on the AONB.' and substitute 'and which respects or enhances the character and natural beauty of the AONB.' to reflect the wording of the policy.	differentiate in the policy between land within and without the AONB.
254		nt and Heritage – Topic Overview	Note concern
BE1	Duke Street	I haven't spotted anything relating to protecting or promoting the quality of the environment within our built-up areas, particularly in the centre of Padstow. The plan mentions the benefits of a thriving restaurant trade, but doesn't cover the drawbacks: sound pollution, air pollution and waste disposal.  The centre of the old town still has residential accommodation within it and bordering it, and the environmental nuisance of restaurant extractors, refrigerator compressors and take-away litter is not mentioned, despite the impact it has on residents and other businesses. The latest craze for wood fired ovens is particularly unfortunate, often creating a rancid/burnt oil smell around the streets and harbour, with the drone of extractor fans ever present.  There surely ought to be some sort of planning guideline to cover this. While the visual aspects of the town are, quite rightly, carefully protected, it would seem that anyone can install this sort of equipment without prior consultation. If something is ugly one can look away, but noise and air pollution is harder to ignore.	Note concern. Addressing pollution from existing businesses is beyond the scope of the NP. Consider whether the NP should and can do more in a policy context to address the potential impact of pollution from new development.
BE1		I haven't spotted anything relating to protecting or promoting the quality of the environment within our built-up areas, particularly in the centre of Padstow. The plan mentions the benefits of a thriving restaurant trade, but doesn't cover the drawbacks: sound pollution, air pollution and waste disposal.  The centre of the old town still has residential accommodation within it and bordering it, and the environmental nuisance of restaurant extractors, refrigerator compressors and take-away litter is not mentioned, despite the impact it has on residents and other businesses. The latest craze for wood fired ovens is particularly unfortunate, often creating a rancid/burnt oil smell around the streets and harbour, with the drone of extractor fans ever present.  There surely ought to be some sort of planning guideline to cover this. While the visual aspects of the town are, quite rightly, carefully protected, it would seem that anyone can install this sort of equipment without prior consultation. If something is ugly one can look away, but	Addressing pollution from existing businesses is beyond the scope of the NP. Consider whether the NP should and can do more in a policy context to address the potential impact of pollution

		ancient links. Parkenhead in Trevone has the site of an Iron Age round house.	
	PAD4 Heritage A		
PAD4/1	Trevone	If there is a need to protect local heritage assets, particularly on the 'Padstow schedule of local heritage value', why is this not explicitly referenced in policy PAD 4.	The schedule of local heritage value' does not exist yet. Once it does it could be referred to in the policy. The contents of such a schedule are covered in the draft policy by the term "non-designated heritage assets".
SQUARE DE LA CONTRACTION DEL CONTRACTION DE LA C	PAD5 Local Green	n Space	meritage assets.
PAD5/1	Un-specified	On the map 8 I would like to see the grass triangle at the junction of Treverbyn and Egerton Road designated a 'local green space' or a 'no development area'.	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/2	Grenville Road	The comment I would like to make concerning the piece of land which is a triangle of Treverbyn Road and Egerton. Could it become a green area? As a local person who use to take cows down there to graze before the houses were built. It would be good to keep the triangle as it is.	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/3	Un-specified	I wish to comment on a small piece of land in the Treverbyn Road and Egerton road area. May this be a designated area?	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/4	Un-specified	The grass triangle situated at the junction of Egerton and Treverbyn Road should be protected green space for all to use. It has been there in my lifetime for 60+ years. This green space should be designated as a non-development area.	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/5	Egerton Road	The grass triangle at the junction of Egerton and Treverbyn Road adjacent to the above footpath should be protected green space for all to enjoy. It adds to the pleasant open landscape of the otherwise built up area and is a characterful part of Old Padstow, having been in existence for over 60 years.	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/6	Treverbyn Road	Island between Egerton and Treverbyn Road is surely a green space which enhances the area and allows for visibility at junction of two roads.	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/7	Treverbyn Road	I would like the green area on the junction between Treverbyn and Egerton Road to remain so and to be designated as a local green space for the enjoyment of Padstonians and visitors alike. It is a site used by the Obbyoss on May Day and also as a landing spot for the Cornwall Air Ambulance. Important for the elderly living in this area.	Consider whether the site nominated meets the NPPF's criteria to be designated as a LGS. If it does, then consider including it in the policy list.
PAD5/8	Treverbyn Road	Do not get rid of green space as Padstow is now getting over-developed and also second homeowners wanting to extend properties that they buy without any consideration for other people.	Note expression of general support for the policy. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
DADE/1	PAD6 Settlement Dobbins Lane		Nata summa - i f
PAD6/1	Dobbins Lane	Para. 8.18 makes sense: In general, in accordance with policy PAD6, there is a presumption in favour of development within the settlement area boundaries. However, 'strict regulation' is not adequate for countryside area development - surely it should simply not happen at this stage, when most needed housing (all but 53) is already planned for, per para 9.6	Note expression of support for the policy. Consider addressing concerns about the countryside in the context of other policies.

PAD6/2	Dobbins Lane	Para. 8.21 Local Plan policy 7 states that "the	Note concern about the
		development of new homes in the open countryside will	limited scope for
		only be permitted where there are special	development within the
		circumstances" and then shortly thereafter, we read that	settlement area.
		'The lack of sufficient development land within the	As the criteria used to define
		confines of the settlement areas to meet strategic	the settlement area are quite
		targets or local housing needs is a special circumstance'.	strict, consider how to
		This seems like a rather large exception to what is a good	address that concern in the
		policy and will potentially invalidate the good policy.	context of other policies.
PAD6/3	Beach Road	This policy is clear and provides unequivocal direction for	Note expression of support
		location of development within settlement area	for the policy.
And the second		boundaries.	No change necessary as a
			result of this comment.
PAD6/4	Trevone	Pad6 does not make any specific reference to the AONB	The policy is specifically about
1700/4	Trevolle	which local Trevone residents and visitors alike treasure.	
			development within the
		I think it should be mentioned and perhaps there should	strictly defined settlement
		be a separate policy for Trevone to protect the AONB but	area boundaries.
		also ensure appropriate development in that area that	Consider addressing concerns
		will not affect the AONB.	about the AONB in the
			context of other policies.
PAD6/5	Trevone	I am pleased that it is accepted that there should be	Note support for policy
1700/3	licyone	settlement boundaries for Padstow and the village of	approach.
			1 7 7
		Trevone/Windmill ('Trevone'). However, PAD 6 makes	Consider whether the policy
		no differentiation between Padstow and Trevone.	needs to differentiate
		Padstow is without the AONB but contains a	between Padstow and
		conservation area and Trevone is within the AONB.	Trevone or whether the
		Surely separate policies are needed for these two	supporting text needs to
		settlements. In particular, paragraphs 8.18 and 8.19 are	distinguish between them.
		incorrect/inappropriate for Trevone.	and the same of th
PAD6/6	Trevone	For Trevone, it is hard to understand, and no reason	Review the boundaries of the
PADO/O	Trevone		
		given, why the settlement boundary is not the same as	settlement areas in the light
		the NCDC development boundary. In particular, it is	of comments received and
		necessary to keep the rural exception site outside the	changing planning status.
		settlement boundary so that 'Rural exception policies'	
		continue to apply to that site. This is illustrated in	
		schedule 2.	
PAD6/7	Un-specified	I would like to draw your attention to the anomaly that	Review the boundaries of the
		has occurred with the drawing of the new Trevone and	settlement areas in the light
		Windmill Settlement Boundary.	of comments received and
		,	
		A certificate of lawfulness was granted on the Black Shed	changing planning status.
		and a large portion of the adjacent land which is	
		connected to Upper Dobbin Lane. The red line curtilage	
		of this was drawn in a completely arbitrary way not	
		recognising any of the 'on the ground' boundary's, there	
		is no boundary along this arbitrary, non-marked line and	
		that leaves a few meters of land in the grand plan	
		completely disconnected from any agricultural land but	
		completely connected to the residential land of Upper	
		Dobbin Lane, this few meters of land have not been	
		included within the settlement boundary.	
		May I suggest that for this few meters of land to 'make	
		sense' it should be included within the Trevone and	
		Windmill Settlement Boundary line, acknowledging the	
		'on the ground' well established old Cornish Stone	
		Hedges and earth boundaries which surround it on all	
		sides other than the absence of boundary which	
		connects it to Upper Dobbin Lane.	
		This would conform with 'Round Off' as precedent,	
		stated in the guidance given by the Secretary of State	
		and the National Policies set by Government, followed	
		by Cornwall County Council and Local Council.	
PAD6/8	Trevone Road	It is also noted that the map indicating the Padstow	Review the boundaries of the
		settlement area seems not to include the housing and	settlement areas in the light

		commercial development discussed at full council on	of comments received and
		June 25th that already has planning permission.	changing planning status.
	PAD7 Developn	nent Adjoining Padstow's Settlement Area Boundary	TO THE TENT
PAD7/1	Beach Road	The problem arises as a result of confusion enshrined	The respondent expresses
		within these three policies and a failure, in my view, to	concern about how the policy
		distinguish between development in the countryside	may be interpreted and
		outside of the settlement area boundaries (as referred to	whether the AONB can be
E & 100		at 8.18) and development within the AONB within the	sufficiently protected.
		countryside.	Policy approach to
		Sequentially if only from a landscape and environmental	development outside the
		perspective, development should first be supported in	settlement area boundaries
		those parts of the countryside unconstrained by other	should be reviewed in the
		policy designations, Only when no suitable location	light of comments received.
		within the countryside can be identified should locations	Ingrit of comments to control
		within the AONB be even considered.	
L'union de		This sequential approach is reflected in the Local Plan as	
1 11 40		mentioned at para 8.21 in the context of placement	
		dwellings where 'the guidance recognises that greater	
		scrutiny replacement dwellings proposals will be	
		required within the AONB'. There seems no reason why	
		the Neighbourhood Plan should not similarly reflect this	
		hierarchy.	
J. 113		The Neighbourhood Plan has opted for a criteria-based	
15 - 1 - 1		approach to allow for development proposals outside of	
		the settlement boundaries.	
U 100		Of course, this is acceptable in principle but once again	
- 1175		the sequential test should be introduced to these	
П		criteria.	
		IN practice this would and should reflect the historical	
		and in all realistic terms the likely future direction of	
A Decision of		growth of Padstow in a westerly direction onto land	
		outside of the AONB.	
		The key problem with the interrelationship between	
0.00		these development policies arises due to what I believe	
		to be the lack of any analysis considering the suitability	
		and sustainability of differing locations for any new	
- T		housing developments within the parish, even before	
		any environmental constraints are overlain.	
1		In practice there are only 2 settlements being Padstow	
		and Trevone and whilst a distinction has been made in	
		the sense that PAD7 only relates to development	
		adjoining Padstow's settlement boundary, in practice	
		given the proviso to the rural exception site	
		development policy at PAD11 that a proportion of	
		market housing may be allowed, there is little	
		distinction.	
		Trevone as identified in the Plan has very limited services	
		and facilities, little employment whilst at the same time	
		having the highest level of environmental protection.	
		Bus services to Padstow and Wadebridge are poor and in	
		all likelihood residents of market and affordable housing	
		will work outside of Trevone, send their children to	
		school outside of Trevone and use the services and	
		facilities outside of Trevone.	
		It is therefore hard to think of the less sustainable	
		location to promote additional development than	
		outside the settlement boundary at Trevone. Policies	
		therefore should be to focus all development at and	
DAD7/2	The are a site of	adjoining Padstow and to the least constrained areas.	Dogmondont suggests that the
PAD7/2	Un-specified	In my opinion, the policy should apply equally to the	Respondent suggests that the
		settlement of Trevone as it does to Padstow. Whilst	policy should apply to land on
		Trevone is in the AONB, that designation will be given	the edge of the settlement
		due weight in the balance of considerations and should	area of Trevone as well as
		not therefore preclude development altogether without	Padstow.

		weighing up the potential benefits. It is unlikely that any more land will come forward in Trevone as an exception site in the Plan Period given the difference in land values between sites that are affordable led and those that are open market led but policy compliant with the amount of affordable housing, therefore by not applying PAD7 to Trevone as well it is denying the village the opportunity to support more community housing and the added benefits that this brings in terms of spend in the local shops and public house. PAD7 already has a clause that ensures any proposed development must not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the quality of the environment and the special landscape character of the AONB, therefore I feel that the Policy should apply equally to Trevone as it does in Padstow and any prospective housing sites should be assessed on its merits and constraints.	Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/3	Parkenhead Lane	As stated in para. 8.29 I too am not in favour of any further building on countryside land around Trevone outside the existing settlement area boundary. It is a small rural community and needs to remain so. Locals in Padstow and Trevone, and holiday makers enjoy this area for the way it is now and it does not need to grow and bigger. Nor does Padstow which is bursting at the seams in high season!  So I am concerned that there appears to be a" Get Out Clause" in Policy No PAD 8 which would allow future development at the discretion of the council.	Respondent is concerned that the policy may be too permissive and lead to undesirable development proposals coming forward. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/4	Parkenhead Lane	This seems to suggest, paragraph 8.26, that there may be no need for additional land in Padstow; however, paragraph 8.24 would allow for some incremental growth outside the settlement boundary; And that, paragraph 8.29 "land adjoiningTrevone is regarded as 'countryside', being within the ANOB, development proposals will be resisted unless they comply with policies etc"  Therefore, perhaps a preferred development site for Padstow should be investigated and identified.	Respondent suggests a site allocation approach may be preferable way of safeguarding the countryside. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/5	Parkenhead Lane	PAD6 and PAD 7 refer to 'special circumstances', but as Trevone is wholly within the ANOB, and CC have zero targets for such settlements, these should be rewritten, and Trevone excluded.	Trevone is excluded from policy PAD7. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/6	Parkenhead Lane	Para. 8.21 refers to CLP P7 highlighting "the development of new homes in the open countryside will only be permitted where there are special circumstances"; and in paragraph 8.22 that "the lack of sufficient development land within the confines of the settlement areas is a 'special circumstance' and is addressed by PAD7 and PAD11.  But these policies should not override the policies relating to the AONB	Consider implications of development on the AONB in the context of policies PAD7 and PAD11.
PAD7/7	Trevone	Policy PAD 7 relates to Padstow only and should be in the separate Padstow section. Wherever the policy finally lands up in the document, paragraph 8.29 does not relate to Padstow and should be deleted.	Respondent wants policy PAD7 to be part of a Padstow only section of the NP to ensure that Trevone is excluded. Respondent also objects to para. 8.29. Nb. All supporting text will need to be reviewed in the

			context of agreed changes to policies.
PAD7/8	Trevone	8.26 "If house building rates do not slow down and continue as in recent years, the twenty-year target could be achieved by 2021."  This surely is not a desirable result – it suggests that completions and permissions are being issued too readily and a more measured pace spread over the next eleven years to 2030 is better for the community and its infrastructure.	Respondent wants the NP to affect some control over the pace of new development.
PAD7/9	Trevone	Para. 8.29 The exact meaning of the text is not clear (what does " that are tolerant of specific and fully justified types of development" mean? Presumably this is saying the Council will override the countryside/AONB development restrictions if the proposed plan is for social housing. If so then we have the following views concerning social housing running roughshod over our AONB:	Note concerns. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/10	Trevone	8.2Sue7 regarding the Community Survey 2018 " It was plain from the Survey's response that most people would prefer that the growth in housing numbers, over the next 10-15 years is modest. There is a discernible concern however, particularly from Padstow residents, that such a limited target will not achieve the number of affordable homes that are needed"  Assuming that Trevone residents were of the opposite view to the Padstow residents it would not seem fair or right to burden Trevone's AONB with further development outside its settlement area with additional homes for Padstow residents however worthy their cause for affordable housing as this practice would ultimately destroy Trevone's uniqueness and special character and have an adverse effect on quality of life and tourist numbers. We are not suggesting there is not a case for more affordable homes for Padstow residents but that these should be accommodated within the Padstow boundary; Trevone by its style and character is not a suitable village for further expansion of affordable homes.	Trevone is excluded from policy PAD7. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/11	Un-specified	This should not be limited to "residential or mixed-use" which gives the impression that any development must include an element of residential. There could be suitable solely commercial, tourism, retail or leisure proposals that would benefit the Town socially and economically.	Note comment. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/12	Un-specified	the policy should apply equally to the settlement of Trevone as it does to Padstow.  Whilst Trevone is in the AONB, that designation will be taken into consideration in any event and should not therefore preclude development without having due regard to the overriding existing statutory framework. As a home and land owner in Trevone, I would be unlikely to put any land forward as an exception site in the Plan Period given the difference in land values between sites that are affordable led and those that are open market led but policy compliant with the amount of affordable housing. By not applying PAD7 to Trevone as well it is denying the village the opportunity to support more community led housing and the added benefits that this brings to the whole community. PAD7 already has provision to ensure that any proposed development must not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the quality of the environment and the special landscape character of the AONB.	Respondent suggests that the policy should apply to land on the edge of the settlement area of Trevone as well as Padstow. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.

		On that basis the Plan should apply equally to Trevone as it does in Padstow and any prospective housing sites	
PAD7/13	St Petrocs Meadow	should be assessed on their respective merits.  Strongly object to any development in the out-of-settlement area in the vicinity due north of PL28 8HB.  Have they surveyed these outer fields for former mine workings? We were told by a former P-B land agent that this parcel of land would never be built on.	Note specific objective to land due north of St Petrocs Meadow and doubts about its developability. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
PAD7/14	Treverbyn Road	Padstow must not be allowed to have its boundary joining up with Trevone, Treator of Windmill.	Note concern about coalescence of settlements.
PAD7/15	Trevone Road	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) – If this status is to mean anything then the Padstow Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan should clearly state that it will not support any further development on such land – for whatever reason.  If that is not the view of the council, then it should have the confidence to say so and the reasons why and draft the plan accordingly.  Appreciating that existing developments, even if constructed in what was open AONB – i.e. Porthmissen Close, Trevone has to be marked as within the Trevone existing development.  However, it lies bordered on two sides by open fields that are totally within the AONB.  The plan allows considerable 'wriggle room' for future developments to be allowed on AONB. The layout of Porthmissen Close allowing road extensions in two places.  If the intention is, as would seem to be the case, for any future development plans to be viewed favourably then the council should declare it in the plan.	The respondent wants the policy to specifically protect the AONB. Policy approach to development outside the settlement area boundaries should be reviewed in the light of comments received.
المجسيدية	PAD8 Sustainable		Billion and process that the
PAD8/1	Dobbins Lane	'Sustainable' and 'sustainable development' are terms used repeatedly and I have found a definition in the plan relating to building approaches, which I applaud (8.31) and wondered to what extent the term includes building for future generations so that families can stay here even when they are less able, and can be supported by their relations/carers in their own residences	Note support for the policy approach. Building to meet local needs is addressed by policy PAD10. Consider whether this and other housing policies adequately cover the priorities identified by the respondent.
PAD8/2	Dobbins Lane	8.31 about sustainability makes excellent sense. I wonder whether it is worth including specific reference to developments that make it easier for elderly people to stay resident in the Parish - extra bedrooms for relatives/other carers, disabled bathroom facilities on the ground floor etc and for their offspring to live with them when appropriate.	Note support for the policy approach. Consider whether the policy should include reference to lifetime housing standards.
PAD8/3	Poltair Homes & Situ8	Design standards are an important requirement in new developments according to the Plan and working within the changing policy requirements of the Local Plan.  Cornwall Council has declared a Climate emergency and this declaration requires the Council to prepare a report outlining how it can sufficiently reduce carbon emissions through energy and other Council Strategies, plans and contracts to ensure Cornwall works towards carbon neutrality by 2030.	Note supportive comment. No change necessary as a result of this comment.

	Cornwall Wildlife Trust	this adds to national and Cornwall policies. If it does not, it should be deleted.  The recently published St Agnes NDP is a good example of how neighbourhood plans can promote	Consider, in the light of other comments, whether the policy should go further.  Note suggestion.  Consider whether policy
		of how neighbourhood plans can promote	00
	Wildlife Trust		Consider whether policy
		environmentally friendly developments. An extract from	should be extended to cover
		the plan's guidance is provided. It would be beneficial to	wildlife enhancing measures.
		replicate some of these measures to join up the policies and recommendations being supported across Cornwall.	
	Housing - Topic O		
1102	Un-specified	More work to be done by the Council and the Housing	Refer comment to the Town
	on specified	Associations to maintain the outside of properties to	Council.
		improve their appearance (e.g. Percy Mews)	
HO2	Netherton Road	Having completed the Development Plan the pace and	Refer comment to the Town
		priorities of implementation will be important. I would	Council to consider as part of
		like to see priority given to such policies and issue as	any implementation strategy
		PAD9, PAD10, and PAD11 to encourage affordable	resultant from the NP.
		houses for local residents and people with key	
		employment to support the local community.	At the second
НО3	Dobbins Lane	Para 9.6 asserts that 'We recognise that a continuous	Note doubts about the need
		house-building programme that includes a high	to facilitate a long-term building programme.
		proportion of the right types and tenures of dwelling is in the interest of local households.' I question this and	building programme.
		would like to understand why housebuilding would not	
		be complete at some stage? Or is this because of the	
		employment afforded? The rationale is not clear to me	
		and undermines the sensible limits otherwise implied in	
		the Plan.	
H04	Parkenhead	Housing figures, including affordable housing	Ensure latest 'target' figures
	Lane	requirements, must be based on the current housing	are include in the Pre-
		numbers attributed to PTC by CC	submission version of the NP.
HO5	Trevone	With regard to housing numbers required to be built	Consider whether it is
		within the area of Padstow Town Council ('the Parish'),	necessary to make reference
		the figure allocated to the Parish relates only to land not	to the LPA's guidance that the
		in the AONB. There is no allocation for land within the AONB (see schedule 1 attached; an e-mail from Cornwall	strategic housing target should be met on land
		Council). In addition, I understand that, at the	outside the AONB.
		examination in public of the Cornwall Plan, the Examiner	oddide the Aorto.
		required that Cornwall Council should show that the	
		whole of its housing target could be provided without	
		relying on any housing being constructed in the AONB.	
		Para. 9.6, or indeed a separate one should state that	
		Cornwall Council were required at the examination in	
		public to show that the housing numbers required to be	
		built by the council could be built on land totally outside	
		the AONB. In addition, it should be stated that the	
		housing numbers for the Parish presume that none are	
	_	built in the AONB.	Engure latest (target' figures
но6	Trevone	The housing figures in this paragraph do not agree with	Ensure latest 'target' figures are include in the Pre-
		those in paragraph 8.25. They should be conformed with each other, using the latest available figures, which	submission version of the NP.
		should be as at 31 March 2019.	
HO7	Trevone	Consideration should be given to having a policy which	Consider whether there is
1107		protects the stock of small residential properties,	merit and sufficient
		particularly bungalows. Several such properties have	community support to include
		been pulled down and larger ones built. By retaining	a policy that limits dwelling
		small bungalows, it would give the more elderly	replacement and/or garden
		residents the opportunity to 'trade down' their property.	development.
	PAD9 Housing De		
PAD9/1	Treverbyn Road	In favour, but can this be achieved?	Note support for the policy.
			No change necessary as a result of this comment.

PAD9/3	Trevone	Para 9.9 references attractive, welcoming and distinctive places to live - to be distinctively Padstonian/Trevonian seems sensible; however, one or two 'distinctive' recent builds are merely extraordinary and rather out of keeping with their surrounds - the three distinctively enormous houses newly built opposite Trevone Farm shop, and the distinctively almost entirely wooden one on Dobbin Road seem out of keeping to me.  Policy PAD 9 is only suitable for a proper town and therefore relates to Padstow only and should be included in the Padstow section. No development is expected in Trevone. It should be noted that the Porthmissen development, with its urban pavements and car parking spaces layout, is out of character with	Note comment. Consider whether the policy will apply adequate control to prevent inappropriate design and development taking place.  Note comment. Consider whether there are good reasons why the policy should not apply to future housing development in the Trevone area.
PAD9/4	Beach Road	Trevone in general.  Furthermore, there seems to be some confusion, or at least risk of confusion between Policy PAD9 which is generally supportive of development proposals, but which does not include the safeguards within PAD1 or indeed PAD11 (noting my comments on PAD11)  Given the scale of the outstanding housing requirement /obligation (para 9.6) to meet 53 dwellings (and noting this figure is only a snapshot in time so will increase over the plan period) I feel that it would lead to greater certainty and greater levels of community understanding now and in the future if sufficient land was identified to the west of Padstow to meet this need now.  This would avoid an unseemly rush by landowners and developers to take advantage of what otherwise are supportive policies for development on both the edge of Padstow and Trevone. This would lead to, in my view, exacerbation of the problems identified in the plan, unsustainable development and harm to the environment, all contrary to the local plan and to the NPPF.	Note suggestion that specific sites should be allocated for future housing development. Consider whether the current policy approach remains valid and the preferred approach following this consultation with the community.
PAD9/5	Un-specified	There is relatively good permeability between different parts of the town at present, but the recent Trecerus farm estate does not build on this, so people jump over walls and cut through fences and cross fields etc. This is poor planning that should not be repeated and should be remediated where possible through future phases. I would recommend the following change to PAD9:  3) provision of safe and secure pedestrian access within the development, and maximise opportunities for links to other parts of Padstow, such as neighbouring residential areas and Trecerus Industrial Estate, to enhance pedestrian safety, accessibility and permeability of the whole town; this must meet the most up-to-date standards for such provision set by the County Highways Authority.	Note criticism of recent development. Consider whether the policy would benefit from an additional criterion similar to that suggested by the respondent.
PAD9/6	Trevone	PAD9 seems to be silent on the desirability of access to public transport. The same is true of PAD11.  Furthermore, neither policy mentions the need to have ready access to medical facilities, which seem to me to be important (without having to drive there, take a taxi or walk for a few miles!), and schools. I suggest that these policies, if retained, be amended to reflect the requirement to address the desirability of these other infrastructure matters.	Note concerns about the adequacy of infrastructure. Consider whether they are adequately addressed by other policies in the NP.
	PAD10 Housing N	leeds and Mix	
PAD10/1	Netherton Road	Important to recognise "affordable homes" mean that access is open to all. Single, young families, retired, those needing medical supportetc. Not Just 3-bedroom	Consider whether the supporting text adequately covers the point made.

		luxury homes. We all, as a community, need to plan for the future, and more.	
PAD10/2	Dobbins Lane	Glad to see in paras 9.10 - 9.14 an understanding of	Note supportive comment.
		actual local needs for smaller, rented and lifetime housing	No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PAD10/3	Un-specified	As an aside, it is also worth noting that Padstow Primary	Note supportive comment.
		School is now at 75% capacity (Metropole Hotel	Consider whether the
		Statutory Consultee Response) and classes are now	respondent signposts
		being amalgamated with the associated loss of jobs /	additional evidence in
15 3		reduced working hours because of the reduced number	support of the policy.
		of pupils. Delivery of affordable housing will help sustain	
		numbers given that many of the residents are young	
		families, therefore we should seek to encourage the	
		delivery of affordable housing rather than	
DAD40/4	I la sassifiad	unintentionally putting preventative barriers in the way.	Considerate the arthur ND
PAD10/4	Un-specified	Key-Worker Accommodation A number of businesses in Padstow cater for the tourism	Consider whether the NP
		industry but housing employees has become a real issue	should go further in policy-
		for both the businesses and, where there are staff	terms regarding key-worker accommodation.
		houses, the neighbouring residents (anti-social	accommodation.
100		behaviour). I therefore feel that a dedicated area for	
		purpose-built staff accommodation that is managed,	
		much in the same way as keyworker or student	
		accommodation is managed, should be considered to	
		remove the anti-social problems that are experienced by	
		local residents. It would also remove the added pressure	
		from businesses seeking to buy local housing on the	
		estates, so that they instead can remain as family homes.	
		In terms of location, I do think that somewhere on the	
		edge of the existing industrial estate at Trecerus would	
		be most appropriate so that a suitably sized building	
		could be constructed to meet the needs without looking	
		out of place.	
PAD10/5	Parkenhead	This document promotes providing more low-cost	Note supportive comment
	Lane	properties in the future either as rental or shared	and view that future housing
		ownership scheme new builds of small houses. This is so	development should be
		important to hold on to a sustainable and vibrant	predominantly rental or
		permanent community.	shared ownership scheme.
		As far as providing starter homes here in Padstow, perhaps the outstanding 53 properties to be built should	
		be rental or shared ownership scheme properties for	
		local residents' use. As the Trecerus Housing	
		Development is already established, perhaps these	
		properties can be built here.	
PAD10/6	Trevone	Policy PAD 10 is also a Padstow only policy as no major	Consider request that this
	-	developments are allowed or anticipated in the AONB.	should be regarded as a
			Padstow only policy.
PAD10/7		** N	
	Trevone	9.13 "74% of respondents to the Housing Need Survey	Consider whether the views
	Trevone	9.13 "74% of respondents to the Housing Need Survey 2018 said they supported an affordable housing led	Consider whether the views emanating from different
	Trevone	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any
	Trevone	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal	Consider whether the views emanating from different
	Trevone	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone.	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any
	Trevone	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone.  Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any
		2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone.  Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any
DAD44/A	PAD11 Rural Exc	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone. Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  Seption Site Development	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.
PAD11/1		2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone.  Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The percentage of the respondent seption of the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The percentage of the previous department seption of the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing
PAD11/1	PAD11 Rural Exc	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone.  Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  Leption Site Development  Housing associations could be encouraged to create a replacement of the Council housing lost to second	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing associations.
PAD11/1	PAD11 Rural Exc	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone.  Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The percentage of the respondent seption of the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The percentage of the previous department seption of the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing associations. No change necessary as a
	PAD11 Rural Exc Un-specified	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone. Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The percentage the comment applies here.  The percentage the comment applies here are placement of the Council housing lost to second homes	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing associations. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PAD11/1	PAD11 Rural Exc	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone. Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The eption Site Development  Housing associations could be encouraged to create a replacement of the Council housing lost to second homes  I am less clear that para 9.17's suggestion that such	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing associations. No change necessary as a result of this comment. Note views expressed.
	PAD11 Rural Exc Un-specified	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone. Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The period Site Development  Housing associations could be encouraged to create a replacement of the Council housing lost to second homes  I am less clear that para 9.17's suggestion that such housing in Trevone (which would be desirable) should be	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing associations. No change necessary as a result of this comment. Note views expressed. The NP will be subject to a
	PAD11 Rural Exc Un-specified	2018 said they supported an affordable housing led development to help meet the needs of local people."  We would like the 74% figure broken down to reveal how many of the respondents are resident in Trevone. Assuming this is a low percentage then, the previous comment above under 8.27 applies here.  The eption Site Development  Housing associations could be encouraged to create a replacement of the Council housing lost to second homes  I am less clear that para 9.17's suggestion that such	Consider whether the views emanating from different settlement areas has any direct relevance to the policy.  Note support for housing associations. No change necessary as a result of this comment. Note views expressed.

		with least negative impact, not just the Planning offices. It should also be limited to long term rental accommodation since any 'affordable housing' purchase arrangements inevitably seem to convert to unaffordable housing.	planning policies that should be applied by the LPA. The residents of Trevone will also be able to make comment and representations on any planning application made in their neighbourhood.
PAD11/3	Un-specified	I believe Trevone could easily happen accommodate a second phase to the existing Affordable development to	Note implied support for this policy.
		sit alongside the existing development controlled by Ocean Housing in Porthmissen Close, Trevone.	No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PAD11/4	Dobbins Close	Section 5 uses the words 'in perpetuity' – we have had trouble with this in London where a park given to the	Consider, in consultation with the LPA, whether the phrase
		community 'in perpetuity' now ends with a meaning of 90-year period and is under threat for housing. I notice	is 'in perpetuity' is the most appropriate one.
		the words are used elsewhere in your document. My	appropriate one.
PAD11/5	Homer Park Rd	advice is if you mean 'for ever more' – then say that.  In order to preserve the spirit that small scale affordable	Consider whether the
TABILITS	Tiomer rankina	schemes are indeed small-scale, I hope that a policy be	suggested clause is necessary
		added stating "any proposal for small-scale affordable schemes be non-contiguous with any previously passed	or appropriate.
		such schemes.	
PAD11/6	Beach Road	The list of criteria required to generate support for rural exception sites should be widened to include walking	Consider whether the criteria of the draft policy should be
		distance to school, to everyday shops, health/doctors, childcare/nursery and other services. Criteria 6 should be expanded to say 'and in all other ways meets the criteria	added to or amended as suggested.
		of housing policy PAD9 and PAD7'. The new criteria should be introduced to ensure that development is not promoted in the AONB as for the reason stated above	
		there can be no good reason to do so given availability of land not so protected.	
		As stated above it would be better and ultimately more likely to meet the local needs and to deliver affordable	
		housing on the edge of Padstow.  At para 9.18 it is stated that policy PAD11 is consistent with AONB policy MD5. As quoted the policy is not at all	
PAD11/7	Trevone	consistent with this without amendment.  Although I have no objection to a small (maximum 15 houses) extension to the present Porthmissen Close	Consider whether the draft policy should be amended in
		estate, I am very worried by the wording of this Policy. Has consideration been given to this wording	the light of the concerns expressed regarding specific
		actually creating a loophole? For example, I understand Beach Road has recently been purchased by a	locations in or on the edge of Trevone's settlement area,
		Development Company. This purchase would give access to Dave's Field. All the purchasers have to do is	once the boundary has been reviewed.
		wait until the owners of Dave's Field (now or in years to come) are prepared to sell and if this Policy wording is included in the NDP, there would be difficulties in	
		objecting to planning on that site. I don't think the wording of 4 and 5 is tight enough and the Council would	
		do well to seek specialist legal advice. There are a number of unadopted roads within the Parish, not all	
		leading to such a prime building site, but building on this particular site would be detrimental to the beauty of the area even if it provided some homes for locals.	
PAD11/8	Poltair Homes & Situ8	The Plan refers to small scale affordable housing schemes. It may be useful to quantify what small is and whether this is appropriate. Policy 9 of the Local Plan	Consider whether the wording of the policy should be revised as suggested or
		state that the primary purpose of development on Exceptions sites is to provide affordable housing to meet local needs will be supported where they are clearly	whether it is necessary to define what is meant by 'small'
		affordable housing led and would be well related to the physical form of the settlement and appropriate in scale,	Strict *

		character and appearance. We would suggest that	
		appropriate scale is used instead of small scale.	
PAD11/9	Parkenhead	A Rural Exception Site policy may be needed to prevent	Note opposition to the draft
	Lane	unwanted speculative housing development of any kind	policy covering the whole
		in Padstow. But, paragraph 9.17 suggests there may be	parish including Trevone and
		a need to also identified an exception site for in Trevone.	the AONB.
		However, the CLP says " the baseline for a housing target	Consider whether it should
		within an AONB will be zero", backed by the Examiner;	exclude areas of the parish or
		The Housing Needs survey identified that no-one wanted	favour certain locations or
		to live in Trevone; PAD 11 says 'an exception site needs	sites.
		to be within a reasonable and safe walking distance of an	
		existing settlement', which Trevone is certainly not with no paved area on the B3276. Therefore, Trevone needs	
		to be removed from this Draft policy.	
PAD11/10	Parkenhead	PAD 11 may only be needed to prevent speculative	Note comment and implied
10044/40	Lane	development in Padstow, as CLP and ANOB Management	suggestion that the AONB
	Lanc	Plan consider Trevone to be outside any development	should be excluded.
		area.	
PAD11/11	Parkenhead	Housing and Housing Development uses data from the	Note point made that Housing
	Lane	2018 Housing Needs survey and from the Community	Needs data does not justify
		Consultation in 2018. From a total of 1488	any housing development in
		questionnaires only 52 returned related directly to	the AONB area.
F		housing needs. One question (Q18) asked where would	
		the household like to live? Of the 44 responses to this	
		question, none wanted to live in Trevone!!	
		Therefore, the AONB must be protected and there	
	_	should be no housing in the AONB	
PAD11/12	Trevone	Policy PAD 11 is unacceptable as there is no need for it.	Note opposition to the
		Rural exception sites are legislated for fully in the	specific reference to Trevone.
<del>[</del>		Cornwall Plan policy number 9. There is absolutely no	Note view that this draft
		need to expand on this policy in the Plan.  No-one in the housing survey put Trevone as their first	policy is unnecessary in the NP as the Local Plan deals
1 5		choice for affordable housing and the 'fingering' in	adequately with exception
		paragraph 9.17 of a site in Trevone is also unacceptable.	site development.
		Sub-paragraph 3) of this policy in itself is also	Site development.
		unacceptable. It is not for Padstow Town Council,	
		through its NDP, to determine the composition of any	
		community. In other parts of the country this would be	
		called social engineering. This same comment applies	
		also to paragraph 9.17.	
PAD11/13	Trevone	Padstow Town Council appear to be targeting Trevone as	Note the reasoned opposition
		a rural exception area (9.17) and will agree to further	to any extension of the
		development adjoining its settlement area using the	Porthmissen estate at
		'extension' rule to an existing built up area (ref PAD7/1).	Trevone and concerns that
		Although not specified this can only refer to the	the draft policy may facilitate
		extension of the Porthmissen estate completed in 2016	it.
Miles and		and located just down from Windmill on the right-hand side.	
		We strongly object to any such further development of	
		this site as:-	
		1. It would contravene PAD7/4 i.e. "It does compromise	
		and have an unacceptable adverse impact on the quality	
		of the environment and the special landscape character	
		of the AONB" as it would reduce the amount of	
		agricultural land and increase the already high volume of	
		traffic in Trevone Road.	
		2. It would adversely affect the unique village character	
		of Trevone and turn it into a town.	
		3. There is no evidence that "an increase in the number	
		of young families would [also] help support local facilities	
		and services and make Trevone a more sustainable	
		community in the future." (9.17) The residents of the	
		Porthmissen estate keep themselves to themselves and	
	1,	do not seem to support local functions and events. There	L

		is one farm shop and one beach shop in Trevone – all	
		other 'local' facilities are in Padstow.	
		4. It would destroy the qualities that Trevone parishioners' value most about their area (7.1) namely,	
		"Its scenic beauty, countryside, location, wildlife,	
1		peacefulness and pace of life."	
		If Padstow Town Council really does value these Natural	
		Environment qualities, then their Extension rule runs	
		counter to preserving and maintaining them and will	
		without doubt destroy them. The Extension rule gives	
		the Council carte blanche to override parishioners'	
		concerns regarding the necessity to preserve Trevone's  AONB eg:	
4		7.2 States that Trevone is part of the Cornwall AONB and	
		"being part of the AONB means being protected by the	
		Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 'to conserve	
		and enhance its natural beauty".	
		8.5 "It is the overwhelming view of the community that	
		incursions into our precious countryside should be strictly limited and controlled."	
		8.21 "Local plan policy 7 states "the development of new	
		homes in the open countryside will only be permitted	
		where there are special circumstances."	
		5. It would increase traffic levels that are already at a	
		high and dangerous level.	
		Trevone's parishioners value the exceptional quality of	
		their village and do not want any further extension	
		development outside the settlement area that would	
		turn their rural idyll into an urban sprawl; this cannot be	
		the Council's aim intentionally or otherwise and its	
		priority therefore should be to protect it against any	
		such development.	
		Other comments:	
PAD11/14	Trevone	9.16 "The number of local households, 176, that was	Note suggestion that Padstow
4		recognised as being in housing need at April 2018 is	housing needs should be met
		substantial."	at Padstow.
45.0		How many of the 176 are resident in Trevone? Assuming	
		this is a low percentage then, the previous comment	
		above under 8.27 applies here.	
PAD11/15	Trevone	In summary, Trevone has unique character and its AONB	Note opposition to exception
		must be protected for future generations and the	site development at Trevone
		Council must resist the urge to extend the settlement	because of its character.
		area to provide further sites for affordable homes	
		development that would be better located within	
		Padstow, or at least outside the AONB, bearing in mind	
		the number already allocated within the Trecerus	
		development.	
		The Council are no doubt aware that when five	
		agricultural fields came up for sale in 2017 between	
17.2		Harlyn Road and Trevone Road, over 30 Trevone	
		households felt so passionate about protecting the	
		AONB and preventing further development, that they	
		raised the capital to purchase the fields themselves with	
		the sole purpose of preserving their agricultural heritage,	
DAD11/16	lin enneitied	natural beauty and the character of Trevone as a village.  Paragraph 9.17 suggests that there may need to be a	Note opposition to exception
PAD11/16	Un-specified	Rural Exception Site within the AONB of Trevone. PAD11	site development at Trevone
		notes that exception sites must be within a reasonable	because of inadequate
		and safe walking distance of an existing settlement.	pavements and inadequate
		and sale warning distance of an existing sectionicit.	I bear critering arise managed and
			nedestrian routes.
		Trevone is not easily accessible as the main B3276 is	pedestrian routes.
		Trevone is not easily accessible as the main B3276 is narrow, has no pavement and is unsafe for pedestrians	pedestrian routes.
		Trevone is not easily accessible as the main B3276 is narrow, has no pavement and is unsafe for pedestrians to walk along. Farm tracks do exist, but these are not	pedestrian routes.
		Trevone is not easily accessible as the main B3276 is narrow, has no pavement and is unsafe for pedestrians	pedestrian routes.

		potential residents within the community. Therefore,	
DAD44/47	Tuesday	Trevone should be excluded from PAD11.	Note view that this draft
PAD11/17	Trevone	My concerns about PAD11 fall into two categories	
		PAD11, without item 3, seems to be essentially a	policy is unnecessary in the NP as the Local Plan deals
		paraphrasing of Policy 9 in the Cornwall Local Plan. As	
		such I consider it unnecessary and believe it, and	adequately with exception
		associated paragraphs, should be deleted.	site development.
		Item 3 goes beyond Policy 9. There is no reference	Respondent specifically
		provided for what is proposed and no clarification given.	questions criterion three. If
		Is the 'balanced community' that is referred to be based	the policy remains in the Pre-
		on age, gender, ethnicity, or what? Many communities	submission version of the NP,
		have areas within them where some population traits	consider whether further
		are more common than others and those responsible for	explanation is needed in the
		planning do not attempt to affect the mix. The adoption	supporting text as to what
		of item 3 would effectively give those making planning	certain criteria mean.
		decisions the remit to make such decisions so as to alter	
		the mix of persons within the community and, it might	
		be argued, could be regarded as bordering, perhaps	
R		unintentionally, on some degree of social engineering.	
		This is not something I would support and does not seem	
		an appropriate within a policy for a Neighbourhood Plan.	
	PAD12 Second H		
PAD12/1	Un-specified	I think there has been a lot of careful thought put into	Note expression of support
		these policies, with due regard to protecting the unique	for the policy.
		and special nature of our town. Particularly glad to note	No change necessary as a
		Pad12 re: second homes.	result of this comment.
PAD12/2	Un-specified	Is there a restriction on the size of 'replacement' 1 to 1	Consider whether the NP
		buildings? There seem to be several 'replacement'	needs to set limits to dwelling
TOTAL C		dwellings lately which are decidedly larger than the	replacement.
		original building. Also is there a requirement to keep the	
		replacement building in character with the area in which	
		it is situated?	
PAD12/3	Un-specified	Support the proposal for local resident housing	Note expression of support
		provision, including a restriction on holiday lets for 2nd	for the policy.
		homes	
PAD12/4	Un-specified	Adopt a St Ives style plan and ban further second homes.	Note support for a St Ives
			type policy.
PAD12/5	Un-specified	I support policy PAD12 regarding second homes and aim	Note expression of support
		7 to prioritise local housing needs.	for the policy.
PAD12/6	Un-specified	I also support policy No 12 – Second homes.	Note expression of support
			for the policy.
PAD12/7	Treverbyn Road	In favour, but can this be achieved?	Note expression of support
- 1-1-1			for the policy.
PAD12/8	Treverbyn Road	Anything that addresses the balance between 2 <sup>nd</sup> homes	Note comment.
		and permanent homes has to be a good thing. We	No change necessary as a
		cannot just keep building houses in this beautiful spot,	result of this comment.
		without any control over how they are occupied.	
PAD12/9	Raleigh Road	I think the Plan has been well put together and balances	Note expression of support
		the needs of locals and tourists. It recognises the need to	for the policy.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		control the number of 2 <sup>nd</sup> homes and protect the	
		environment.	
PAD12/10	Dobbins Lane	Agree with 9.24 to restrict second homes so as to	Note expression of support
		strengthen the community and local economy.	for the policy.
PAD12/11	Un-specified	Re: Adopt a St Ives style plan and ban further second	Note support for a St Ives
		homes. Great Idea.	type policy.
PAD12/12	Un-specified	Whilst I fully appreciate the thinking behind this policy,	Note concern about potential
		the practicalities are that it will frustrate housing	impact of policy on new
		delivery, including the much-needed affordable housing.	housebuilding.
		Note the delivery rates of housing in St Ives for the past	
		three years which in May 2016 adopted the same	In the light of all the

-			
		primary residence policy to that proposed in the Padstow NDP. Whilst it is accepted that there is a lag between consent and completions, we are now over three years on from	comments received, consider whether policy should remain in this or another form in the Pre-submission version of the
		when the policy was adopted and only 6 dwellings to	NP.
		date with the primary residence policy have been	IVI .
		completed across all sites / consented schemes in St Ives	
		(and I think two of these were the lifting of a holiday	
		restriction on dwellings that were already built). Given	
		the threshold for affordable housing to be triggered is 10	
		units, this would indicate that only small-scale schemes	
		of one or two dwellings are being constructed and no	
		affordable housing is being delivered because of the	
		burden placed on development sites with the primary	
		residence policy. Mortgage lending for primary	
		residence housing is limited and where mortgage products are available the interest rates will be higher	
		than standard mortgages so the cost of servicing the	
		debt will be greater to those living in the properties. The	
		uncertainty of sales (because of the primary residence	
		policy) puts off developers from looking at bringing sites	
		forward, and without sites of a certain size that have	
		open market housing cross-subsidising the affordable	
		then no homes are being built for anyone.	
PAD12/13	Un-specified	Houses that come under the most pressure from second	Note comment and view
		homeowners are those wanting properties in the older,	expressed that the policy will
		more quaint parts of the town. The policy does nothing	have adverse implications on the local market older
		to protect the existing housing stock here from being purchased by second / holiday homeowners so pressures	character properties in the
		will continue from these prospective purchasers. The	area and a negative impact on
		outskirts of Padstow are not where holiday homeowners	the supply of affordable
		want to be - Cornwall Council confirmed that only 2% of	housing.
		the houses on the outskirts are second / holiday homes.	
		I therefore feel strongly that Policy PAD12 will do more	
		damage than good and will prevent any affordable	
		housing from coming forward and will unintentionally	
		put pressure on the existing stock pushing prices even	
		higher and out of reach from those wishing to remain in	
PAD12/14	Trevone	the local community.  9.24 I support this.	Note expression of support
FAD12/14	Trevolle	5.24 i support triis.	for the policy.
PAD12/15	Poltair Homes &	We have sympathy with the problem and the Plan's	Consider the case and
1000	Situ8	ambition to favour full time residency, but the LSE report	evidence presented by the
		has identified unplanned market consequences.	respondent.
		There have been two articles recently which highlight	In the light of all the
		the impact of the second homes policy on St Ives and it	comments received, consider
Part of the same		has been shown to have a negative impact upon local	whether policy should remain
		residents in terms of tilting the open market away from	in this or another form in the
		locals.	Pre-submission version of the
		Like many popular Cornish coastal resorts Padstow has succeeded in attracting destination tourism; often	NP <sub>s</sub>
		visitors are converted into wanting to own a 'small part'	
		of Padstow and become 'accepted' within and by the	
		community through buying a second home. Also,	
		investors are attracted to acquiring holiday let homes	
		because of the potential investment return, measured	
		against an economy where traditional investment	
		returns are currently low.	
		We have sympathy with the problem, from the	
		community's viewpoint, through trying to reduce the	
		demand for second / investment homeownership. The proposed restriction in favour of full-time residency for	
		any new open market homes built is likely to have	
		adverse impact on the market.	
			I

Our views expressed in relation to this draft policy are made in good faith, using our experience of housebuilding funding, mortgage availability, market economics and buyer's aspirations.

We support the principle that new homes should be led by delivering affordable homes / community value. To achieve affordable homes delivery, a balance needs to be struck against some open market housing delivery via s106 Agreements, otherwise affordable homes delivery could stall unless funded through Government grants. Government has greatly reduced grant requiring RP's to use cross subsidy models.

Through restricting newly built open market homes, as proposed, without the Neighbourhood Plan having the ability to retrospectively restrict existing housing stock in similar fashion, runs the risk of creating a distorted market. The approach proposed would not deter second home ownership but is likely to increase demand and consequential value of existing unrestricted private homes stock disproportionately.

The continuing second home demand focused on a smaller pool of homes could exacerbate the ability for local people to afford homes in the heart of the town. The local community would have no control over this market.

The resultant likely increase in the average home price in the uncontrolled parts of the town is likely to increase the average sales values across the wider housing stock further distancing local people from affordability. Ironically, the aim to restrict new homes, if any were built might lag behind in value because of the occupancy restriction, but mortgage companies are less inclined to grant mortgages where there is such a 'market' restriction.

One only has to look at restricted affordable homes sales. Local Authorities recognise that first time buyers can only secure a mortgage providing the restriction on occupancy can be lifted if a mortgage company has to take possession following default allowing the property to be sold unrestricted on the open market. Without this 'mortgagee in possession' clause, no mortgages would be available to first time buyers.

It is our contention that considering how to help better balance housing market supply and demand is not a black and white answer through simplistic restriction of one sector.

The London School of Economics (LSE) recently published report (June 2019) referred to previously, evaluated the impact of such restrictions both in the UK and overseas. St lves, which trailblazed an occupancy restriction through their NDP according to the report, has suffered unfavourable unplanned consequences and market distortion. We would urge the Padstow Steering Group to consider the report as relevant evidence. We attach a copy.

The communities view is understood and the Plan's aim admirable, as we recognise that Padstow leaders are keen to create a vibrant community with housing and consequential wellbeing serving all sectors of local society. However, to achieve would require a raft of policy decisions.

We would urge the Padstow Steering Group to brainstorm alternative approaches, which would allow the community to benefit in different ways. It would be wrong for us to guide such a conversation, other than to

			P
		say that at Trecerus Farm we voluntary offered to	
		promote homes for local people for a minimum period	
		before being offered to people from outside of Padstow	
		and we prevented any homes being purchased for	
		holiday letting. That has delivered success and has led to	
		over 80 new affordable homes being created. We are	
		grateful to the Steering Group for acknowledging this.	
PAD12/16	Dennis Road	I note with interest the proposal to follow St Ives Town	Consider the concerns
		Council's initiative to place a residential requirement on	expressed by the respondent.
learn th		new build housing. While this move was applauded by	In the light of all the
		the local residents when it was introduced in St Ives I	comments received, consider
		understand that the unintended consequence has been	whether policy should remain
J		to bring new housing development to a virtual standstill	in this or another form in the
		as developers are unable to build and sell houses at	Pre-submission version of the
		prices that will bring a return on their investment. Older	NP.
		properties in St Ives are now favoured by second home	1
		seekers, and there are very few new homes being built	
		and sold at affordable prices to locals. I think Padstow	
		Parish council should take note and proceed with great	
		caution in implementing a similar policy.	
		, , ,	
		Have members of the council considered other possible	
4		measures to discourage second home purchases that	
		inflate property prices for locals? I am not sure what	
		powers the council has but are there other possible	
10 :		strategies that would not discourage developers?	
		It is a very important matter to keep Padstow as a	
		vibrant living community for young working families	r
PAD12/17	Parkenhead	I also think there are sufficient second homes and	Note implied expression of
	Lane	holiday-lets in this area and that these should be limited	support for the policy.
		in the future as they have done in St Ives.	
PAD12/18	Trevone	I support in principle policy PAD 12 but am concerned	Note support in principle for
		about some of the negative assertions/wording in the	the policy but concern about
		following narrative. I attach a marked-up copy of this	the potential negative impact.
		page. It has to be recalled that it was 'locals' who sold	Review wording of supporting
F-4-11		their houses to second homeowners etc in the first	text after agreeing any
		place. In addition, in paragraph 9.22, I challenge	revisions to the policy.
		whether the sustainability of Trevone is compromised by	
		second homeowners. 'White van man' in this area was	
		never out of work through the financial crisis and the	
		community in the village is flourishing.	
PAD12/19	Trevone	On a more positive note, we are fully in agreement to	Note expression of support
		the Council's plan for Second Homes (PAD12) in general	for the policy.
		and the Principal Residence rule in particular.	let are peney.
PAD12/20	Un-specified	Like most permanent residents, I do strongly oppose the	Note expression of support
TADIZ/20	on specifica	development of second homes and the destruction of	for the policy.
		the actual fibre of the village of Trevone by them. Really	Tor the poney.
		enough is enough. We have more than is ever needed,	
		there is no excuse to build anymore. Lots of them don't	
		fit in, architecturally, with the existing style of properties	
		and lots, especially the wooden ones, just look hideous	
		and stand out horribly.	
		· ·	
		The second home industry brings traffic, both	
		contractors and of course private vehicles. Their	
		nuisance, noise, quantity and pollution that results is not	
		acceptable. Cornwall, we all know, is one of the most	
		popular holiday spots in the UK, we have our fair share	
		of foreign vehicles using our very busy road network too,	
		which adds to the congestion in peak holiday times.	
		Continued building work can only increase this.	
		Consideration should be given to reduce the traffic	
Extraction and the second		problems it causes.	
PAD12/21	N Prideaux-	Second homes - Difficult one this. I have huge sympathy	Note concern about possible
	Brune	with the strength of local feeling about second homes,	negative impact of the draft
		although I recently saw a news article which suggested	policy.

		that the approach taken in St Ives has not necessarily	
		had the desired effect, and in some instances has been	
		counterproductive. Other options, such as a local tax on	
DAD42/20 T		second homes, might be worth considering?	Note and a second
PAD12/22 Tr	reverbyn Road	Development of new properties should not be allowed	Note expression of support
		to be used to let as second homes. Homes for locals	for the policy.
		should be made more affordable.	
PAD12/23 CI	hurch Street	2nd Home Ownership paras 9.5, 9.22, and 9.23	Note support in principle for
		I found your comments on second home ownership	the policy but concern about
		interesting and very relevant.	the potential negative impact.
11.1. *		When we purchased our house most of the top end of	
		Church Street was permanently occupied as main	
		residences. Today there are only two houses at the top	
		of Church St which are occupied as principal residences.	
		The other change is that 2nd home ownership has	
		changed. Whilst in the 1980s and 90s the pattern was for	
		houses to be bought for the owner's own use or for their	
		family or immediate friends, the most common sight	
		today at the front door is the black key box and and	
		·	
		advert for a letting company. The most common	
		approach seems to be to modernise and sanitise the	
		property for letting and the owners to only visit	
		themselves at best a few times in the year.	
		It is no longer a second home but a commercial business	
		and so should perhaps be reclassified as such and a way	
		found to control expansion where it is detrimental to the	
		town's development as a community.	
		The other aspect of ownership in the 1980s was that	
		properties were frequently in very poor condition (ours	
		was dreadful) and it would have been almost completely	
		impossible to obtain a mortgage. We have invested time	
		and funds in restoring and retaining countless old	
		features.	
		I would like to see you recognise the difference between	
		the investment/ letting/business second home and those	
		who have worked to see Padstow retain its old character	
		and make their property a "real second home"	
		I applaud your proposals to prevent new housing being	
		purchased for second homes but have heard that St Ives	
The Co.		have found unexpected adverse consequences by	
		adopting similar restrictive policies. I would suggest that	
		the PPNP team approach the St Ives NP team and see if	
		these proposed policies for Padstow will avoid having	
		similar difficulties.	
PAD12/24 II	Jn-specified	A mate lives in St Ives and there are now no building jobs	
PAD12/24 U	nr-specified	for locals left because the developers don't make	
		money. It's been a disaster for young local people that	
		need well paid jobs. It's a great idea that has had the	
		opposite effect I think.	
T	ransport Travel	and Parking – Topic Overview	
	Jn-specified	Direct bus service to St Columb would enable direct bus	Refer comment to Town
"11"   0	zir-specified	routes to the west, Truro and Newquay.	council. Not a NP matter.
TT2 P	adstow	The amount of cars, buses & lorries coming into Padstow	Note respondent generally
112   12	ฉนวเบ₩	is getting unbearable now for people who live on the	agrees with Overview.
		A389, the park & ride buses are so noisy & polluting	Consider whether anything
			can usefully be added to the
		going backwards and forwards every 10-15 mins with	
		sometimes 4/5 people on big double decker buses which	introductory text.
		is crazy. All lorries & buses going into the town should	
		use the alternative route away from the school &	
		residents on the B3276 past the cemetery where the	
		noise wouldn't affect anyone. Something has to be done	
		as this situation is only going to get worse with the	
		amount of people visiting Padstow. I'm all for the Park	
		and ride but it has to be set up properly with digital	

·	Treverbyn Road  Beach Road  Poltair Homes &	I think the emphasis should be put on keeping the roads around the harbour safe and accessible. At present there are too many unnecessary obstacles along the side of what is already a narrow road making it difficult to access the North Quay in particular. It is important to remove A-boards and street traders unbelievable when they are sat underneath signs saying 'no street traders'. These obstacles making it unsafe for public safety.  Given the acknowledged severe problems with travelling and congestion in the centre of Padstow and in Trevone, this policy should be broadened to include and presumption against development which will exacerbate any such congestion.  We would support such a policy as long as it is viable and	Street and traffic controls are not matter for the NP. The comment should be referred to the Town Council.  Traffic impact criteria should be considered in the context of specific development policies.  Note support in principle for
	Treverbyn Road	I think the emphasis should be put on keeping the roads around the harbour safe and accessible. At present there are too many unnecessary obstacles along the side of what is already a narrow road making it difficult to access the North Quay in particular. It is important to remove A-boards and street traders unbelievable when they are sat underneath signs saying 'no street traders'. These obstacles making it unsafe for public safety.	not matter for the NP. The comment should be referred to the Town Council.
	I WATT FAFER III.		
	PAD13 Local Trav	should be for homeowners in roads at top of town e.g. Glynn Road, Netherton Road, Dennis Road, Treverbyn Road, Egerton Road.	management is not a matter for the NP. The comment should be referred to the Town Council.
	Grenville Road	displays on entering Padstow saying how many spaces are actually left in the town to stop cars driving all the way down to only discover there's no spaces so then driving all the way back up to park in the park & ride!!. If done properly it will also stop the volume of cars going unnecessarily down to the town. We live in a beautiful place which is slowly being destroyed by unnecessary new builds, pollution, people & traffic. Padstow was once a lovely village.  Road network - I note the comment "Perhaps the matter of most concern to the community is the road network and the several traffic issues". I agree that traffic management and the roads are a concern. Objective 9A (Improve and extend the footpath network) should include:  1. The need for an official footpath and cycle-path from the junction of the B3276 and the A389 through to the top of Polpennic Drive. The lack of one is dangerous; residents and tourists currently walk on the road or battle through the vegetation.  2. In addition to the critical need address in 1 above, I propose there is a need to extend a footpath and cycle-path to at least Jury Park, if not the Caravan site.  3. Many people from the existing Trecerus Farm development and Grenville Road (and roads leading of it) dash across the A389 to get to either the bus stop (notably the Wadebridge school pupil dash) or to Tesco. I know there is the traffic island a little further up, however this is simply ignored. With the proposed further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, the possible further expansion of the Trecerus Farm development, t	Note respondent generally agrees with Overview. Consider whether anything can usefully be added to the introductory text. Road maintenance is not a NP matter. This comment should be referred to the Town Council.

		car charging points, they should be located in public areas, such as car parks, although security would be challenging.	interpreted and implemented.
PAD13/4	Un-specified	Generally supports facilities for alternative means of transport.	Note support in principle for the policy. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
Delini	PAD14 Electric Ve	ehicle Charging	1.580 I VALUE OF
PAD14/1	Netherton Road	Not just new homes need electric parking points, existing homes need access to such points as well.	Consider whether to extend the policy to mention new buildings.
PAD14/2	Poltair Homes & Situ8	We would support and would suggest they should be located in public areas such as pubs and car parks, providing there is accessibility to nearby facilities. Whilst waiting for charging, people will want something to do, hence recommending that they are concentrated near existing facilities. We agree that domestic trickle charging points should be provided in all new homes.	Note comments. Consider whether it is necessary to further describe what is meant by suitable locations.
PAD14/3	Un-specified	EV Charging points should be required, not supported, for all new homes and commercial development. Many local authorities are including this in development plans nowadays.	Note view, but the NP cannot go as far as requiring EV Charging points.
E.E.C.	PAD15 Public Car	Parking Areas	
PAD15/1	Un-specified	Car park capacity signage, as used in Newquay, would probably alleviate some of the car roundabout syndrome in the summer, and relieve congestion in the town.	Traffic management is not a NP matter. Refer suggestion to Town Council.
PAD15/2	Un-specified	Also, as a local resident I would like to propose Resident Parking Permits. I know that you are still not guaranteed a space outside your home and a cost would occur but I'm sure this would be acceptable for most people residing in the town. Sincerely hope this issue can be looked at again.	Parking control is not a NP matter. Refer suggestion to Town Council.
PAD15/3	Raleigh Road	I am concerned about our car parking. I think if we create more public car parking we will get more visitors in town than we can manage. We have to accept that when the parking is full, the town can't take any more. Resident parking for those living in the centre of town still needs addressing.	Note concern about the potentially negative impact of additional public car parking areas.
PAD15/4	Dobbins Lane	I wonder whether electronic monitoring and reporting of parking spaces could be useful at the arrival points to Padstow to prevent people coming into the town centre only to find they must drive straight back out. Might we also limit the central car parks to young families and elderly people and encourage others further develop their health and stamina by parking further away? We could increase the number of loading only bays to advantage. Including off road parking in new developments is sensible.	Parking control is not a NP matter. Refer suggestion to Town Council.
PAD15/5	Un-specified	I also support the suggestion of real-time car park capacity signage, and it should be on show before the Park and Ride site, in an attempt to stop wasted journeys around town adding to congestion and pollution.  Could the Park and Ride buses be a) single-deckers and b) electric/hybrid?	Parking control and bus service provision are not a NP matter. Refer suggestion to Town Council.
PAD15/6	Un-specified	We all know that the Padstow town centre has very limited parking making the park-and-ride invaluable. However, during some extremely busy times I've seen it closed. Could that please be looked at as it will relieve the need for visitors to drive into town looking for parking.	Park-and-ride opening times is not a NP matter. Refer suggestion to Town Council.
PAD15/7	Un-specified	whilst PAD15 entirely supports new car parks, this seems to be the wrong way around and there is a danger	Note concern about the potentially negative impact of

		that every field around the town will turn into a car park	providing additional public car
DAD45/0	Tuescana	in the summer.	parking areas.
PAD15/8	Trevone	PAD15 and the subsequent paragraphs seem to be somewhat blinkered in that it is silent on measures that	Note doubt that further
		may ameliorate the need for further public car parking. I	public car parking is necessary. Other measures
		suggest that this policy be amended to include support	are referred to but not
		for such measures.	specified.
Name of Street	PAD16 Off-road F		specified.
PAD16/1	Treverbyn Road	Cars are double parking on both sides of many of our	Parking control is not a NP
I ADIO, I	Treverbyii Rodu	roads i.e. Dennis Road making it impossible to pass and	matter.
		especially emergency vehicles needing to get through.	Refer suggestion to Town
		Double-yellow lines should be placed on at least one	Council.
		side.	
PAD16/2	Beach Road	There should be an additional limb to this policy where	Consider whether the policy
11=== = .		the development proposals result in the loss of private	should be extended to
		parking would not be supported.	prevent the loss of off-road
			parking as a result of new
			development.
PAD16/3	Poltair Homes &	This is clearly an important issue that the Plan wishes to	Note support in principle for
	Situ8	contribute to lessen the problem. We would support	the policy.
		this.	No change necessary as a
0.0000//	<b>-</b>	D.I. DAD 451	result of this comment.
PAD16/4	Trevone	Policy PAD 16 is not needed for Trevone. The reference	Note the opinion that a policy
		to Trevone in paragraph 10.11 is a wrong interpretation of the results of the questionnaire and should be	requiring adequate off-road
		deleted. To the question 'Do any of the following need	parking provision for new development and
		addressing: parking in Trevone, 54% agreed and 46%	encouraging the provision of
		disagreed. The problem in Trevone is inconsiderate	additional off-road parking
		parking in the holiday season and builders' vehicles. This	should not apply to Trevone.
		is not a problem to be resolved by new development	
		proposals, particularly as development is not expected in	
		Trevone. The comment about 'free parking space' relates	
1		g	
		to Padstow only.	
		to Padstow only.  nd Tourism – Topic Overview	
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  nd Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment	The respondent identifies
ET1		to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid	specific as suitable for
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town.
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  Ind Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the
ET1	Poltair Homes &	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place)	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  In Tourism – Topic Overview  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	Poltair Homes & Situ8	to Padstow only.  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
	N Prideaux- Brune	to Padstow only.  The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate
ET2	N Prideaux-Brune  PAD17 Business I	The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.  Development  We consider that this policy is too restrictive as new businesses and expansion of business are not necessarily	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate sustainable tourism.
ET2	N Prideaux-Brune  PAD17 Business I Poltair Homes &	The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.  Development  We consider that this policy is too restrictive as new	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate sustainable tourism.
ET2 PAD17/1	Poltair Homes & Situ8  N Prideaux-Brune  PAD17 Business I Poltair Homes & Situ8  PAD18 Trecerus I	The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated. Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.  Development  We consider that this policy is too restrictive as new businesses and expansion of business are not necessarily located adjacent to the settlement boundary of Padstow.  ndustrial Estate	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate sustainable tourism.  Note objection from developer to the restrictive nature of this draft policy.
ET2	N Prideaux-Brune  PAD17 Business I Poltair Homes & Situ8	The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated. Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.  Development  We consider that this policy is too restrictive as new businesses and expansion of business are not necessarily located adjacent to the settlement boundary of Padstow.  ndustrial Estate  Look to improve local employment opportunities that is	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate sustainable tourism.  Note objection from developer to the restrictive nature of this draft policy.
ET2 PAD17/1	Poltair Homes & Situ8  N Prideaux-Brune  PAD17 Business I Poltair Homes & Situ8  PAD18 Trecerus I	The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated.  Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.  Development  We consider that this policy is too restrictive as new businesses and expansion of business are not necessarily located adjacent to the settlement boundary of Padstow.  Industrial Estate  Look to improve local employment opportunities that is not tourism related, especially on Trecurus Farm	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate sustainable tourism.  Note objection from developer to the restrictive nature of this draft policy.  Note implied expression of support for the policy.
ET2 PAD17/1	Poltair Homes & Situ8  N Prideaux-Brune  PAD17 Business I Poltair Homes & Situ8  PAD18 Trecerus I	The NDP is seeking to broaden the employment opportunities and reduce the reliance on lower paid tourism jobs. We would draw attention to the land to the north of Trecerus industrial Estate as a preferred option for expansion of businesses in the town. We would suggest the potential of Newquay Spaceport proposals could offer opportunities for a modern business park in Padstow, allowing it to attract high quality specialist businesses, away from but well positioned to Newquay. To attract, such ambition, if agreed by the community would need to be articulated. Tourism - Speaking as an institution (i.e. Prideaux Place) which depends heavily on Padstow's tourism industry I hope that there will genuinely remain a proactive openness to sensible and sustainable ventures aimed at visitors. Whilst there is understandable wariness from the local community about being invaded each year there is precedent showing that, with changing tastes and fashions, "destination" towns can find that their visitors have moved elsewhere. We should keep our eye on the ball.  Development  We consider that this policy is too restrictive as new businesses and expansion of business are not necessarily located adjacent to the settlement boundary of Padstow.  ndustrial Estate  Look to improve local employment opportunities that is	specific as suitable for expansion of businesses I the town. Consider how the policies in the Pre-submission version of the NP may impact on the nominated land.  Note comment and hope that the NP will facilitate sustainable tourism.  Note objection from developer to the restrictive nature of this draft policy.

PAD18/2	Dobbins Lane	11.14 shows good thinking about developments on the Trecerus Industrial Estate.	Note support for the policy reasoning.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PAD18/3	Poltair Homes & Situ8	We agree with the sentiment of this policy but consider that it should be written in a more positive light. A Neighbourhood Plan is a tool for encouraging development in the right place. We recommend you replace the negative language.	Note criticism of the way the policy is written. Consider whether it should be re-worded in a more positive, development-encouraging manner.
PAD18/4	Parkenhead Lane	I agree that Trecerus Industrial Estate is in need of improvement and is of vital importance to our economic growth.	Note implied expression of support for the policy. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
PAD18/5	Trevone	Paragraph 11.14 gives some views on the undesirability of 'storage, warehousing and distribution businesses'. No evidence/reference is given for this view as being something that Padstow Parishioners endorse. Nor is anything said about the potential benefits throughout the Parish for new businesses of this type. I suggest that the lack of robust substantiation of the views expressed mean that this paragraph needs to be either properly referenced or else deleted. I would not necessarily view this type of business as undesirable, it would depend on employment created and the leveraging it gave to other businesses.	Respondent questions whether the community agrees with the statement that "development proposals for storage, warehousing and distribution businesses will not be supported, because they generally provide low levels of employment in relation to the floor space provided".  The purpose of the informal consultation on the NP was test whether policy positions such as this were favoured or otherwise by the community. Review the policy position in the light of the response to the consultation.
PAD18/6	Treverbyn Road	Trecerus industrial estate should not be over-developed.	Note implied opposition to the draft policy if it results in Trecerus Industrial Estate being over-developed.
Nic del	PAD19 Padstow T	own Centre	
PAD19/1	Un-specified	Continue to limit 'chain' shops in the Town Centre (e.g. rejecting Costa's bid for the Barclays site)	This is not something the NP can exercise control over. Refer the comment to the Town Council.
PAD19/2	Egerton Road	Some restrictions should be placed on the traders on the Quay providing tattoos and hair braiding. The queues for these traders block the road and passageway around the Quay. They have now started selling various items which puts them in direct competition with rate paying shops who are at an unfair advantage.	This is not something the NP can exercise control over. Refer the comment to the Town Council.
PAD19/3	Treverbyn Road	Nothing addressing the harbour are and its proliferation of street traders appears in the Plan. Many of these traders suggest they offer a service but are simply selling tat. The crowds which gather round them cause obstruction to pedestrians and traffic. Some are now appearing on the bandstand which does have a specific and enhancing purpose. For years now nothing appears to have been done to prevent the numbers of these people who are not apparently paying anything into the local community.	This is not something the NP can exercise control over. Refer the comment to the Town Council.
	PAD20 Tourism D		
PAD20/1	Dobbins Lane	11.23 Excellent summary of objectives for sustainable development in relation to tourists.	Note endorsement of policy approach as set out in para. 11.23
PAD20/2	Un-specified	I feel the policy itself reads fine, but some of the sub-text is perhaps a little negative and may be counter-	The respondent expresses concern about how the

		productive, particularly in respect of local opinion (para 11.21). There is evidently a conflict between local opinion on this matter and being guided by the NPPF and taking a positive plan approach. Given this is a planning document I wonder whether the negative aspect should	community's views on tourism are reported and may be interpreted. Consider whether the supporting text should be less
		come out, or some additional text is introduced to emphasise more clearly how this policy will be addressed in a positive manner.	negative.
PAD20/3	Trevone	Sub-paragraph 3) of policy PAD 20 is incorrect and needs to be altered to conform with the Cornwall Plan. Policy 23.2a) states that 'Proposals must conserve and enhance the landscape character and natural beauty of the AONB and'	Respondent wishes criteria 3 to be re-worded to align with the LP.
	Community Well	being- Topic Overview	
CW1	Netherton Road	Additionally, I would like to see emphasis being given to PAD23, PAD24, PAD25. Community wellbeing is a vital component of every neighbourhood.	Note support for policies in this section.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.
CW2	Raleigh Road	There is still a lot of emphasis given to the skate park as per the initial town consultation. Well done on building the skate park but there is little mention of all the youth activities that are currently available e.g. SCC, guides, rowing club, sailing club, surf lifesaving etc.	Consider whether community facilities need mentioning in the introduction. Nb. the NP is not a town guide or gazetteer.
CW3	Dobbins Lane	Para 12.5 talks of parks and amenity services and the possibility of extending these. It would seem more beneficial to educate people to make the most of the natural environment and its spaces, both to provide adequate outdoor activities and to train up future guardians for the Estuary, beaches, rocks, cliffs etc. This is made clear in para 12.16 but may need to be applied more widely.	Note comment.  No change necessary as a result of this comment.
CW4	Dobbins Close	clause 12.17 – 'under provision of outdoor sport' - you do have some good golf courses relatively close – it's a shame they do not count.	Note comment about near-by golf courses. Consider whether they need mentioning.
CW5	Grenville Road	Recreation facilities It was pleasing to note the Council is aware of the real issues here with the following statement: There are concerns that the physical and social infrastructure needed to ensure the area remains a sustainable place to live, is under strain and vulnerable to a growth in population and visitor levels and changing lifestyles. The capacity of health facilities to cope with future demands is a matter of concern. The area is well-provided with community buildings, but several still require improvement and modernisation. The area is under-provided with public open space and recreation areas, which seems to disadvantage young people. The Town Council has been looking to address this with the recent development of a skate park and considering other recreation facilities, such as a Multi-Use Games Area (MUGA).  And that the 2016 study of public open spaces noted "the level of outdoor sports spaces is significantly lower than the average for larger towns in the county." I commend the Council on the excellent work to deliver the skate park and wholeheartedly agree with the need to develop the courts and area in the Lawns Car Park. My family played on them this week (and the other court was in use too).  1. Obj.16A Ensure recreational spaces are sufficient to meet local demands; Obj.16B Support initiatives that provide opportunities for young people: It would be good to have one tennis court and one multi-skills court	Note support for policies in this section and recent improvements. Consider whether the respondent offers any further evidence of community needs and aspirations that could be added to the Overview. Refer comments regarding the Town Council and public assets to the Town Council.

		and both need to be maintained, the current state of the surface is awful and putting people off.  I would also encourage support of any improvement to the Jury Park football field for recreational purposes.  2. Obj.14A Promote the timely provision of physical and community infrastructure; Obj.15A Support improvements and enhancements to existing community facilities; The green space outside the courts should be protected and should have more public seating areas/benches by the courts so parents/family can let children play whilst being close by. There should also be some more benches around the skate park (one inside too at the top end). A water fountain outside both the courts and the skate park will help children stay hydrated and healthy.  3. Obj.14A Promote the timely provision of physical and community infrastructure; Obj.15A Support improvements and enhancements to existing community facilities; The social club building should look better (although this may not be the Council's responsibility), and I would support the Council's desire to acquire the library building — my children have used the library extensively for 7 years which has helped their education, and some form of reading provision should be maintained, even if it's a reduced stock of books with the ability to reserve books in, something we do a lot.  Whether or not the library/reading provision happens the building should be a superb hub for community groups, projects and the management of the Lawns sports facilities.  I look forward to the next version of the plan, which I	
CW6	St Petrocs Meadow	hope includes the points I have made here.  It would be good to see greater concern and preparation for lower income groups and local interests.	Refer comment to Town Council.
CW7	Poltair Homes & Situ8	Para. 12.5 There should be a positive statement to improve and further enhance and greater integrate the play area opposite the cemetery.	Refer comment to Town Council.
	PAD21 Communit		
PAD21/1	Treator	I appreciate that housing must be improved and building in marketable areas - such as Padstow and its surrounds - is important. Consideration, however, must be taken into account that the already stretched public services (doctor's surgery, NHS dentist places, banks etc.) are supplemented with the increase in population. I note in section 12.3 that concerns have been raised in the past. As it stands, we already have multiple service provision problems, for the summer increase in population in Padstow - no banks, one inaccessible post office, poorly planned disabled parking provision, and too much access for unregulated hawkers and street traders, making pedestrian access difficult.	Note concern about the adequacy of community infrastructure.
PAD21/2	Un-specified	Additional provision for the doctors in particular will be required as the Town expands	Note concern about the adequacy of community infrastructure.
PAD21/3	Dobbins Lane Poltair Homes & Situ8	Paras 12.6-8 - worth addressing these points - another good contribution from the Neighbourhood Plan developers.  Major development should be able to lead as well as follow in tandem community infrastructure. Many	Note endorsement of policy approach set out in paras. 12.6 – 12.8.  Note view that new development could be the
PAD21/5	St Petrocs	infrastructure projects will be beyond the control of the Plan.  What provision is being made to cope with the	lever for new community infrastructure.  Note concern whether
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Meadow	expanding population of Padstow in terms of school, surgery, parking? There is plenty of concern about	sufficient consideration will

HE STA		environment, nominally, but no real awareness of green	be given to the adequacy of
		space and human impact.	community infrastructure.
DA D22 /4		ty-based Initiatives	I state and a state at the state of
PAD22/1	Dobbins Lane	Para 12.9 references a renewable energy project but does not mandate inclusion e.g. of solar panels on all new builds, use of green roofs, encouragement of geothermal heating where possible, establishment of grey water systems or other initiatives relating to individual developments. I may have missed this but would heartily recommend its inclusion.	Note suggestion that the policy or supporting text should advocate a negative carbon, high specification for all new developments.
PAD22/2	Poltair Homes & Situ8	We would support this ambition.	Note support for policy. No change necessary as a result of this comment.
	PAD23 Communit	ty Facilities	
			No comments received – so no change is necessitated by the consultation
	PAD24 Recreation	n and Sports Facilities	
PAD24/1	Treverbyn Road	A leisure centre for Padstow would be a good idea.	Note support for a local leisure centre.
PAD24/2	Church Street	Para 12.17 I hope that there is NO plan to introduce a golf course into Padstow as it must be the most land hungry activity as well as being one of the most elitist sports from which few local residents would ever benefit	Note opposition to any golf course development in the area.
	PAD25 Facilities f	or Young People	
PAD25/1	Beach Road	This policy should be expanded to include reference to any such support being conditional on the environmental impacts especially within the AONB to have been demonstrated to meet the requirements of the NPPF in this respect.	Note concern about the impact of this policy.  Nb. policies are not applied in isolation. All relevant policies in the NP should apply to all development proposals.
PAD25/2	Un-specified	engagement with the school and recognised local youth organisations.	The respondent suggests the supporting text should refer to engagement with relevant local bodies and organisations.
PAD25/3	Trevone	PAD25 refers to facilities for young people. Why is there not an equivalent policy for other age groups?	It is unclear whether the respondent opposes this policy or what kind of agerelated policies are being advocated.
PAD25/4	St Petrocs Meadow	Be more supportive of the Sea Cadets such that PTC could contribute to a full-time QM for the unit thereby keeping everything in good order.	Refer comment to the Town Council.
	Monitoring the P		
MP1	Netherton Road	Having completed the Development Plan the pace and priorities of implementation will be important. I would like to see priority given to such policies and issue as PAD9, PAD10, and PAD11 to encourage affordable houses for local residents and people with key	The NP could include a statement or sub-section on implementation, if the Town Council was prepared to commit to such.
N. IT. LE	Glossary	employment to support the local community.	
	Citossai y		No comments received – so no change is necessitated by the consultation